



Development Services Department

BOARD ACTION REPORT – APPEALABLE ITEM

Project Name: Tin Roof Expansion

Project Location: 4 E Atlantic Avenue (2020-010)
8 E Atlantic Avenue (2020-011)

Request: Certificate of Appropriateness & Class I Site Plan

Board: Historic Preservation Board

Meeting Date: January 15, 2019

Board Vote: Approved on a 6-0 vote (Caruso absent)

Board Actions:

Approved the Certificate of Appropriateness with the following conditions:

1. That the roll down gates and metal gate storage boxes be screened with authentic materials that are appropriate to the historic district and are visually appealing from the public right-of-way; and,
2. That the real plant material be utilized on the fence along the south side of the outdoor patio.

Project Description:

The subject COA request involves a Class I Site Plan modifications and COA requests for each property. The Tin Roof business is currently located at 8 E. Atlantic Avenue and is expanding its use into the building to the west - 4 E. Atlantic Avenue (formerly ROK BRGR).

The proposal includes minor renovations to the outdoor space for 8 E. Atlantic Avenue include:

- Installation of a new 8' tall artificial hedge divider fence on the south side of the outdoor seating area;
- Installation of faux, plastic Ivy hedge material on the metal roll down gates surrounding the stage;
- Relocation of the existing handicap lift from the north of the stage to the south side;
- Extension of the existing railing on the north (front) side of the site; and,
- Relocation of the existing cooler from the patio area to the kitchen.

The proposal includes exterior architectural modifications to the east façade of 4 E. Atlantic Avenue as follows:

- Demolition of a portion of the east exterior wall and installation of a new 8' x 9'6" aluminum, sliding door system and a new single 8' x 3' swinging door; and,
- Replacing the existing aluminum, swinging door storefront system on the east elevation with a new 8' x 10' aluminum, sliding door system.

Board Comments:

All members of the board were supportive of the proposal.

Public Comments:

No public comment

Associated Actions:

N/A

Next Action:

The HPB action is final unless appealed by the City Commission.



DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

BUILDING | HISTORIC PRESERVATION | PLANNING & ZONING
100 NW 1ST AVENUE, DELRAY BEACH, FLORIDA 33444
(561) 243-7040

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD STAFF REPORT

4 E. Atlantic Avenue
8 E. Atlantic Avenue

Meeting	File No.	Application Type
January 15, 2020	2020-010 2020-011	Certificate of Appropriateness & Class I Site Plan

REQUEST

The items before the Board are for consideration of Certificate of Appropriateness and Class I Site Plan Modification requests associated with exterior renovations to an existing contributing structure located on property located at **4 E. Atlantic Avenue, Old School Square Historic District**, along with exterior modifications to the site located at **8 E. Atlantic Avenue, Old School Square Historic District**.

GENERAL DATA

Agent: Mathew Scott, Esq./Beth Schrantz, Land Planner

Owner: Leviathan Land Group Inc.
Sure Ring, Inc.

Location: 4 E. Atlantic Avenue
8 E. Atlantic Avenue

PCN: 12-43-46-16-01-069-0060
12-43-46-16-01-069-0041

Property Size: 0.15 Acres
0.22 Acres

Zoning: OSSHAD (Old School Square Historic Arts District)
With CBD (Central Business District) Overlay

FLUM: OMU (Other Mixed Use)


Historic District: Old School Square Historic District

Adjacent Zoning:

- OSSHAD (North)
- OSSHAD (West)
- OSSHAD (South)
- OSSHAD (East)

Existing Land Use: Restaurant

Proposed Land Use: Restaurant



BACKGROUND AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

4 E. Atlantic Avenue – File # 2020-010

The subject property is located within the OSSHAD (Old School Square Historic Arts District) zoning district; the Central Business District (CBD) zoning overlay and the Old School Square Historic District (OSSHD). The site consists of Lot 6, Block 69, Town of Delray and contains a masonry vernacular style building, which is considered a contributing building within the Locally and Nationally Designated Old School Square Historic District. Constructed in 1910 by the Rhoden family, early Delray pioneers, the building served as a general store and grocery store while the family lived in the apartment above. It is the oldest remaining, contributing commercial building on Atlantic Avenue. Over the years there have been numerous modifications to the building including rehabilitation of the structure to comply with the Delray Beach Design Guidelines and the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation. The current proposal relates to the portion of the building formerly occupied most recently by ROK BRGR.

In 1988, a blanket sign program was approved for four flat wall signs in rust brown, background ivory border 7' 11" in length and 2' height as this is a multi-tenant building which consisted of the restaurant and retail

Project Planner:

Katherina Paliwoda, Planner
paliwodak@mydelraybeach.com

Review Dates:

January 15, 2020

Attachments:

1. Architectural Plans
2. Applicant Justifications
3. Photographs



uses. In 2000, the Historic Preservation Board (HPB) approved a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for a sign for Safari Steakhouse; then, in 2004 HPB approved for a sign for Sol Kitchen; in 2017 HPB approved a color change to the exterior of the building and signs for ROK BRGR, which included a subsequent City Commission approval for a waiver to the sign code allowing the sign to face the east elevation rather than a road right-of-way; and, finally in 2019 HPB approved a sign for TAPAS 35.

8 E. Atlantic Avenue – File # 2020-011

The 0.22-acre subject property is located within the OSSHAD (Old School Square Historic Arts District) zoning district; the Central Business District (CBD) zoning overlay and the Old School Square Historic District (OSSHD). The property consists of the West 26' of Lot 4 (Less the South 14') and Lot 5, Block 69 and contains a two-story Masonry Vernacular commercial building constructed in 1950. The structure is classified as non-contributing to the Old School Square Historic District. The 2009 Old School Square Historic District Resurvey recommended that the subject property be reclassified as contributing, as the Period of Significance was being expanded to include those eligible resources constructed between 1944 and 1965; however, the owner requested that the subject property not be reclassified. Upon adoption of Ordinance 10-10 on June 1, 2010, the Period of Significance for the Old School Square Historic District was expanded to 1965, and 10 of the properties that were recommended for reclassification were not reclassified to contributing, including the subject property, because the property owners elected to "opt-out" of this process.

At its meeting of April 4, 2018, a Class II Site Plan Modification, Landscape Plan, and COA request was approved for façade improvements, exterior color changes, installation of a new pergola & retractable fabric shade covering, new cabana in the outdoor area, aluminum railing system, a wood fence, and signage.

The items before the board are Class I Site Plan modifications and COA requests for each property. The Tin Roof business is currently located at 8 E. Atlantic Avenue and is expanding its use into the building to the west - 4 E. Atlantic Avenue (formerly ROK BRGR).

The proposal includes minor renovations to the outdoor space for 8 E. Atlantic Avenue include:

- Installation of a new 8' tall artificial hedge divider fence on the south side of the outdoor seating area;
- Installation of faux, plastic Ivy hedge material on the metal roll down gates surrounding the stage;
- Relocation of the existing handicap lift from the north of the stage to the south side;
- Extension of the existing railing on the north (front) side of the site; and,
- Relocation of the existing cooler from the patio area to the kitchen.

The proposal includes exterior architectural modifications to the east façade of 4 E. Atlantic Avenue as follows:

- Demolition of a portion of the east exterior wall and installation of a new 8' x 9'6" aluminum, sliding door system and a new single 8' x 3' swinging door; and,
- Replacing the existing aluminum, swinging door storefront system on the east elevation with a new 8' x 10' aluminum, sliding door system.

The request is now before the board for review.

REVIEW AND ANALYSIS

Pursuant to LDR Section 2.4.5(G)(5) Modification to site and development plans - Findings. Formal findings are not required for a Class I or II modification. A finding that the proposed changes do not significantly affect the originally approved plan must be made concurrent with approval of a Class III modification. Class IV modification is subject to the same findings required of a new submittal.

The proposed modifications to the site are minor and will allow for a connection between the two properties (4 and 8 E. Atlantic Avenue) for the Tin Roof restaurant. Proposed changes to the site at 8 E. Atlantic Avenue include modification of the existing railing on the north side of the property to extend the railing to the west; installation of a new 8' tall artificial hedge divider fence on the south side of the outdoor seating area; relocation of the handicap lift from the north side of the cabana/stage area to the south side; relocation of the



existing cooler from the patio area to the kitchen. Relocation of the handicap lift to the rear side of the cabana/stage will improve the appearance of the outdoor seating area from the front of the property. The proposed changes to 4 E. Atlantic Avenue include exterior alterations to the east side of the building to allow for connectivity between the two spaces.

It is noted that the combined life safety plan indicates a total number of 271 seats are proposed between the 2 spaces; however, only 267 seats are illustrated on the plan. A site plan technical item is that the combined life safety plan be updated to correctly illustrate the number of proposed seats.

Pursuant to LDR Section 2.4.6(H)(5), Certificate of Appropriateness – Findings. Prior to approval, a finding must be made that any Certificate of Appropriateness which is to be approved is consistent with Historic Preservation purposes pursuant to Objective A-4 of the Land Use Element of the Comprehensive Plan and specifically with provisions of Section 4.5.1, the Delray Beach Historic Preservation Design Guidelines, and the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation.

Pursuant to LDR Section 2.4.5(I)(5), Architectural (appearance) elevations - Findings. At the time of action on architectural elevations the approving Board shall make findings with respect to the objectives and standards as contained in the architectural regulations, Section 4.6.18. An overall determination of consistency with respect to the above is required in order for an architectural plan to be approved.

LDR SECTION 4.5.1 - HISTORIC PRESERVATION: DESIGNATED DISTRICTS, SITES, AND BUILDINGS

Pursuant to LDR Section 4.5.1(E), Development Standards, all new development or exterior improvements on individually designated historic properties and/or properties located within historic districts shall, comply with the goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan, the Delray Beach Historic Preservation Design Guidelines, the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation, and the Development Standards of this Section

Pursuant to LDR Section 4.5.1(E)(2)(c)(2) & (4) – Minor Development.

The subject application is considered “Minor Development” as it involves modifications to both existing contributing and non-contributing structures in the Old School Square historic district which is subject to CBD regulations. Alterations are less than 25 percent of the contributing structures located at 4 E. Atlantic Avenue and 8 E. Atlantic Avenue.

Pursuant to LDR Section 4.5.1(E)(3)(a)(1.)(d) – Fences and Walls - Non-historic and/or synthetic materials are discouraged, particularly when visible from a public right-of-way.

The previous COA approved by HPB included construction of a raised cabana area for seating with a covered pergola on the west side of the outdoor space and this space is now utilized as a stage. The existing metal roll down gate enclosure was not a part of the previous approval; it was later installed as a security solution to protect band equipment.

There is concern with the metal roll down gates and their associated metal storage box. First, they have an industrial appearance which is not compatible with the historic district and architectural style of the buildings on the property. The applicant is proposing to screen the gates with faux, plastic ivy hedge material to soften the look of the gates; however, there is concern with the use of synthetic materials within the historic district as they are discouraged when visible from a public right-of-way. It is also noted that such material does not hold up well to the elements and eventually loses its green appearance. Typically, the gates are rolled up at night during performances and are rolled down during the day, storing into a metal storage box, which is mounted at the top of the cabana roof. Neither the gate storage boxes nor the gates are adequately screened.

The applicant has indicated that they are currently considering other options for screening the roll down gates that would be more visually appropriate and that they would like to work with the city to decide on a compatible material for the gates prior to the issuance of building permit. An acceptable solution to screen



the storage boxes would be to clad the boxes with a wood valence or fascia. An added condition of approval is that the roll down gates and metal gate storage boxes be screened with authentic materials that are appropriate to the historic district.

Additionally, the proposal includes a faux ivy hedge on the fence along the south side of the outdoor patio, which is also visible from the right-of-way. This area could accommodate an authentic living wall with real plant material or a hedge. This item is added as a condition of approval.

Pursuant to LDR Section 4.5.1(E)(4) – Alterations: in considering proposals for alterations to the exterior of historic buildings and structures and in applying development and preservation standards, the documented, original design of the building may be considered, among other factors.

The existing structures, and their remaining original forms, have been considered with respect to the proposed site improvements. Changes to the exterior elevation of 4 E. Atlantic Avenue are minimal and have been designed to allow for better functioning of the two spaces as one business.

Pursuant to LDR Section 4.5.1(E)(5) - Standards and Guidelines: a historic site, building, structure, improvement, or appurtenance within a historic district shall only be altered, restored, preserved, repaired, relocated, demolished, or otherwise changed in accordance with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation, and the Delray Beach Historic Preservation Design Guidelines, as amended from time to time.

Standard 1

A property shall be used for its historic purpose or be placed in a new use that requires minimal change to the defining characteristics of the building and its site and environment.

Standard 2

The historic character of a property shall be retained and preserved. The removal of historic materials or alteration of features and spaces that characterize a property shall be avoided.

Standard 3

Each property shall be recognized as a physical record of its time, place, and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or architectural elements from other buildings, shall not be undertaken.

Standard 4

Most properties change over time; those changes that have acquired historic significance in their own right shall be retained and preserved.

Standard 5

Distinctive features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a historic property shall be preserved.

Standard 6

Deteriorated historic features shall be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature shall match the old in design, color, texture, and other visual qualities and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features shall be substantiated by documentary, physical, or pictorial evidence.

Standard 7

Chemical or physical treatments, such as sandblasting, that cause damage to historic materials shall not be used. The surface cleaning of structures, if appropriate, shall be undertaken using the gentlest means possible.



Standard 8

Significant archeological resources affected by a project shall be protected and preserved. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures shall be undertaken.

Standard 9

New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment.

Standard 10

New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

Standards 2, 3, 5, 9, & 10 are applicable to the proposed renovations and improvement to the structures and the adjoining exterior space.

Regarding Standard #5, the artificial hedge proposed for screening the roll down gates and divider fence wall uses inauthentic materials for the historic district.

The previous COA approved by HPB included construction of a raised cabana area for seating with a covered pergola on the west side of the outdoor space and this space is now utilized as a stage. The existing metal roll down gate enclosure was not a part of the previous approval; it was later installed as a security solution to protect band equipment.

The use of artificial hedges and ivy is not considered appropriate to the historic character of the building as it is not a feature or finish that was used during the time period to which the property was constructed. The use of actual foliage and greenery, or some other type of authentic material used to screen yet enhance the craftsmanship of the façade would be more suitable.

The Delray Beach Historic Preservation Design Guidelines note the following with respect to Fences and Walls:

"Fencing, and garden and retaining walls add distinction to individual buildings and historic districts. They serve a practical purpose of forming property line boundaries, and to distinguish lines between the yard, sidewalk and street. Whenever possible, the original walls and/or fences should be preserved.

New materials, some of them synthetic, may be approved on a case-by-case basis."

With regards to the proposed faux ivy hedge, this material is not considered to be durable and will likely fade quickly giving an unattractive appearance. As discussed in a previous area of the staff report, a condition of approval has been added to allow for a more visually compatible material for the roll down gates and the fence on the south side of the patio.

Pursuant to LDR Section 4.5.1(E)(8) - Visual Compatibility Standards: new construction and all improvements to both contributing and non-contributing buildings, structures and appurtenances thereto within a designated historic district or on an individually designated property shall be visually compatible. In addition to the Zoning District Regulations, the Historic Preservation Board shall apply the visual compatibility standards provided for in this Section with regard to height, width, mass, scale, façade, openings, rhythm, material, color, texture, roof shape, direction, and other criteria set forth elsewhere in Section 4.5.1. Visual compatibility for minor and major development as referenced in Section 4.5.1(E)(2) shall be determined by utilizing criteria contained in (a)-(m) below. Visual compatibility for all development on individually designated properties outside the district shall be determined by comparison to other structures within the site.



The following criteria apply:

1. **Height:** The height of proposed buildings or modifications shall be visually compatible in comparison or relation to the height of existing structures and buildings in a historic district for all major and minor development. For major development, visual compatibility with respect to the height of residential structures, as defined by 4.5.1(E)(2)(a), shall also be determined through application of the Building Height Plane.
2. **Front Facade Proportion:** The front facade of each building or structure shall be visually compatible with and be in direct relationship to the width of the building and to the height of the front elevation of other existing structures and buildings within the subject historic district.
3. **Proportion of Openings (Windows and Doors):** The openings of any building within a historic district shall be visually compatible with the openings exemplified by prevailing historic architectural styles of similar buildings within the district. The relationship of the width of windows and doors to the height of windows and doors among buildings shall be visually compatible within the subject historic district.
4. **Rhythm of Solids to Voids:** The relationship of solids to voids of a building or structure shall be visually compatible with existing historic buildings or structures within the subject historic district for all development, with particular attention paid to the front facades.
5. **Rhythm of Buildings on Streets:** The relationship of buildings to open space between them and adjoining buildings shall be visually compatible with the relationship between existing historic buildings or structures within the subject historic district.
6. **Rhythm of Entrance and/or Porch Projections:** The relationship of entrances and porch projections to the sidewalks of a building shall be visually compatible with existing architectural styles of entrances and porch projections on existing historic buildings and structures within the subject historic district for all development.
7. **Relationship of Materials, Texture, and Color:** The relationship of materials, texture, and color of the facade of a building and/or hardscaping shall be visually compatible with the predominant materials used in the historic buildings and structures within the subject historic district.
8. **Roof Shapes:** The roof shape, including type and slope, of a building or structure shall be visually compatible with the roof shape of existing historic buildings or structures within the subject historic district. The roof shape shall be consistent with the architectural style of the building.
9. **Walls of Continuity:** Walls, fences, evergreen landscape masses, or building facades, shall form cohesive walls of enclosure along a street to ensure visual compatibility with historic buildings or structures within the subject historic district and the structure to which it is visually related.
10. **Scale of a Building:** The size of a building and the building mass in relation to open spaces, windows, door openings, balconies, porches, and lot size shall be visually compatible with the building size and mass of historic buildings and structures within a historic district for all development. To determine whether the scale of a building is appropriate, the following shall apply for major development only:
 - a. For buildings wider than sixty percent (60%) of the lot width, a portion of the front façade must be setback a minimum of seven (7) additional feet from the front setback line:
 - b. For buildings deeper than fifty percent (50%) of the lot depth, a portion of each side façade, which is greater than one story high, must be setback a minimum of five (5) additional feet from the side setback line:
11. **Directional Expression of Front Elevation:** A building shall be visually compatible with the buildings, structures, and sites within a historic district for all development with regard to its directional character, whether vertical or horizontal.
12. **Architectural Style:** All major and minor development shall consist of only one (1) architectural style per structure or property and not introduce elements definitive of another



style.

(m) Additions to individually designated properties and contributing structures in all historic districts: Visual compatibility shall be accomplished as follows:

- 1. Additions shall be located to the rear or least public side of a building and be as inconspicuous as possible.**
- 2. Additions or accessory structures shall not be located in front of the established front wall plane of a historic building.**
- 3. Characteristic features of the original building shall not be destroyed or obscured.**
- 4. Additions shall be designed and constructed so that the basic form and character of the historic building will remain intact if the addition is ever removed.**
- 5. Additions shall not introduce a new architectural style, mimic too closely the style of the existing building nor replicate the original design but shall be coherent in design with the existing building.**
- 6. Additions shall be secondary and subordinate to the main mass of the historic building and shall not overwhelm the original building.**

With respect to the Proportion of Openings (Windows and Doors) the proposal depicts new sliding glass doors on the east elevation of on 4 E. Atlantic Avenue, flanking the outdoor stage. The proposed doorways on the north side of the stage will replace an existing opening and a portion of the wall on the south side of the stage will be demolished to allow an additional opening into the shared outdoor space. As the style of the existing storefront doors has no historic significance, the request allows both openings to be visually compatible.

Overall and provided the conditions of approval are met, the relationship and Rhythm of Solids to Voids of the proposed is visually compatible with other structures in OSSHD. The Relationship of Materials, Texture and Color of the building are being retained in that with the exception of the proposed 8' storefront door and 10' sliding glass doors to the left and right of the stage on the east elevation of 4 E. Atlantic Avenue, no color changes or alterations are being proposed to the front facades of 4 E. Atlantic Avenue and 8 E. Atlantic Avenue.

Architectural Elevations Analysis

Pursuant to LDR Section 4.6.18(E), Criteria for Board Action, the following criteria shall be considered by the Historic Preservation Board (HPB) in the review of plans for building permits. If the following criteria are not met, the application shall be disapproved:

- 1. The plan or the proposed structure is in conformity with good taste, good design, and in general contributes to the image of the City as a place of beauty, spaciousness, harmony, taste, fitness, broad vistas, and high quality.**
- 2. The proposed structure, or project, is in its exterior design and appearance of quality such as not to cause the nature of the local environment or evolving environment to materially depreciate in appearance and value.**
- 3. The proposed structure, or project, is in harmony with the proposed developments in the general area, with the Comprehensive Plan, and with the supplemental criteria which may be set forth for the Board from time to time.**

The development proposal is also subject to the requirement for Visual Compatibility pursuant to LDR Section 4.5.1(E)(7), which provides for a specific analysis of the historic exterior of the proposal. The existing structures are both in the masonry vernacular commercial style of architecture with storefront windows and doors on the front facades. There are minor changes proposed to the exterior elevation of 4 E. Atlantic Avenue and improvements to the outdoor space of 8 E. Atlantic Avenue. The most significant change proposed is the addition of sliding glass doors to the east side of elevation of 4 E. Atlantic Avenue. Overall, the modifications allow for an improved appearance to the outdoor space of the Tin Roof restaurant. Provided the conditions of approval are addressed, the proposed architectural elevations present design elements that will contribute to the general area, will maintain the architectural harmony, compatibility, and quality of the neighborhood. It will not cause the nature of the local environment or evolving environment to materially depreciate in appearance and value.



Comprehensive Plan

Pursuant to the Future Land Use Element, Objective A-4, the redevelopment of land and buildings shall provide for the preservation of historic resources. The objective shall be met through continued adherence to the City's Historic Preservation Ordinance and, where applicable, to architectural design guidelines through the following policies:

Future Land Use Policy A-4.1 Prior to approval or recommending approval of any land use or development application for property located within a historic district or designated as a historic site, the Historic Preservation Board must make a finding that the requested action is consistent with the provisions of Section 4.5.1 of the Land Development Regulations relating to historic sites and districts and the "Delray Beach Design Guidelines".

The proposal can be found to be consistent with the requirements of the Comprehensive Plan and provided the conditions of approval are addressed, the request will be considered to be consistent with the provisions of LDR Section 4.5.1 relating to historic sites and districts as well as the "Delray Beach Historic Preservation Design Guidelines".

Review by Others:

The projects are within the CRA boundary, the HPBs action will be reported to the CRA.

The projects are within the DDA boundary and were reviewed at its board meeting on November 18, 2018 to which the request was recommended for approval.

Courtesy Notice:


This development proposal is not in a geographic area requiring courtesy notices.

OPTIONAL BOARD MOTIONS FOR ACTION ITEMS

- A. Move to continue with direction.
- B. Move approval of the Class I Site Plan Modification and Certificate of Appropriateness (2020-010 & 2020-011), requests associated with the renovation of the existing contributing commercial structure on the property located at **4 E. Atlantic Avenue and 8 E. Atlantic Avenue, Old School Square Historic District**, by finding that the request is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and meets criteria set forth in the Land Development Regulations.
- C. Move approval of the Class I Site Plan Modification and Certificate of Appropriateness (2020-010 & 2020-011), requests associated with the renovation of the existing contributing commercial structure on the property located at **4 E. Atlantic Avenue and 8 E. Atlantic Avenue, Old School Square Historic District**, by finding that the request is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan and meets criteria set forth in the Land Development Regulations, subject to the following conditions:
 - 1. That the roll down gates and metal gate storage boxes be screened with authentic materials that are appropriate to the historic district and are visually appealing from the public right-of-way; and,
 - 2. That the real plant material be utilized on the fence along the south side of the outdoor patio.

Site Plan Technical Items:

- 1. That the combined life safety plan be updated to correctly illustrate the number of proposed seats.
- D. Move denial of the Class I Site Plan Modification and Certificate of Appropriateness (2020-010 & 2020-011), requests associated with the renovation of the existing contributing commercial structure on the property located at **4 E. Atlantic Avenue and 8 E. Atlantic Avenue, Old School Square Historic District**, by finding that the request is inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan and does not meet criteria set forth in the Land Development Regulations.



**DUNAY
MISKEL
BACKMAN** LLP

Gary Dunay
Bonnie Miskel
Scott Backman
Hope Calhoun
Dwayne Dickerson

Ele Zachariades
Matthew H. Scott
Christina Bilenki
Lauren G. Odom
Zach Davis-Walker

**Tin Roof Expansion – Tin Roof Delray Beach
Justification Statement for Class I Site Plan Modification and Certificate of Appropriateness
SUBMITTED: October 4, 2019**

In response to positive feedback from customers, Tin Roof Delray Beach, LLC (“Petitioner”) is proposing to expand its popular live music and restaurant concept into the space directly west of the existing location. Specifically, Petitioner is requesting approval to renovate a portion of the structure currently known as Tin Roof Bar located at 8 East Atlantic Avenue, and the adjacent vacant structure to its west, previously known as Tapas 35, located at 4 East Atlantic Avenue. The Tin Roof property, which is +/- 0.37-acres, and the property previously occupied by Tapas 35, which is +/- 0.15 acres are generally located near the southeast corner of East Atlantic Avenue and South Swinton Avenue within the City of Delray Beach (“City”) and within the Old School Square Historic District (“OSSHD”). The properties are designated Mixed Use/Historic Overlay on the City’s Future Land Use Map, and are located within the OSSHAD, Old School Square Historic Art, zoning district. The properties shall be referred to herein collectively as the “Property.” At this time, Petitioner is proposing to renovate the Property to allow for the expansion of Tin Roof Bar into the adjacent vacant structure (“Project”) as shown on the Site Plan (A-0.1.1) a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit “A”. More specifically, Petitioner respectfully requests approval of the following applications:

- 1. Certificate of Appropriateness and Class I Site Plan Modification Application for renovation of the Tin Roof Property at 8 East Atlantic Avenue**
- 2. Certificate of Appropriateness and Class I Site Plan Modification Application for renovation of the former Tapas 35 Property at 4 East Atlantic Avenue**

The Project will facilitate the expansion of Tin Roof Delray Beach into the currently vacant neighboring structure. Given the Property’s location within the OSSHD historic district, the Project has been designed to maintain historical character and involves minimal impacts to the exterior façade of the Property, as shown on the Site Plan. Petitioner designed the Project so the only external alterations will be: creation of an opening in the shared wall between the two structures, relocation of the handicapped chair lift from the north side of the stage to the south side of the stage, and moving the existing cooler into the interior space. In addition, in response to feedback from City Staff, Petitioner has made further modifications to the Site Plan in order to better meet the desires of the City. These revisions include a faux living green wall along the overhang and draw-down gate of the outdoor stage.

JUSTIFICATION CRITERIA

As required by Section 3.1.1 of the City’s Land Development Regulations (“LDR”), Petitioner will demonstrate below that the Project is consistent with the goals, objectives and policies of the City’s Comprehensive Plan, the Delray Beach Historic Preservation Design Guidelines, the Secretary of the

Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation, and the Development Standards of Section 4.5.1. Further, pursuant to Section 2.4.5(F)(5) of the LDR, the Project is compatible and harmonious with adjacent and nearby properties and the City as a whole, so as not to cause substantial depreciation of property values. In addition, please refer to Site Plan (A-0.1.1) for details of how the Project meets the City's development standards in Section 3.2.3.

Petitioner will also demonstrate that the Project complies with Section 4.5.1(E), Secretary of the Interior Standards of Rehabilitation and the Visual Compatibility Standards. Specifically, Petitioner will show the Project: (a) complies with the goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan, the Delray Beach Historic Preservation Design Guidelines, the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation, and the Development Standards of Section 4.5.1; and (b) is visually compatible with regard to the criteria set forth in Section 4.5.1.

- 1. Pursuant to LDR Section 4.5.1(E) Development Standards- All new development or exterior improvements on individually designated historic properties and/or properties located within historic districts shall comply with the goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan, the Delray Beach Historic Preservation Design Guidelines, the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation, and the Development Standards of this Section.*

The proposed Project is designed to comply with the goals, objectives, and policies of the Comprehensive Plan. Tin Roof Bar is a vibrant restaurant which reflects the area's character and meets the desires of its residents and visitors by promoting live music. As such, the proposed Project will increase and reinforce the benefits of this popular community amenity. Further, it will also revitalize the vacant tenant space (4 E. Atlantic Ave.), and will do so in a complimentary nature to the surrounding uses. The Project will further the Comprehensive Plan's Objective 1.3 for goal NDC 1, Neighborhoods, Districts, and Corridors, specifically Policy 1.3.22, which calls for providing a mix of residential, commercial, and arts uses in the Historic Mixed-Use land use designation for properties within the OSSHD district. Tin Roof Bar is a live music venue that serves the community as an arts space. The venue hosts locally and nationally recognized musical acts for the enjoyment of its visitors and the community. As such, the Project will provide for an adaptive reuse of historic structures and also promote the integration of commercial and arts uses.

The Secretary of Interior's Standards

- 1. A property will be used as it was historically or be given a new use that requires minimal change to its distinctive materials, features, spaces and spatial relationships.*

The Property's use will not change. Further, no changes will be made to the exterior's materials and features except for the partial demolition of an exterior wall/wood fence which faces interior to the courtyard between the two structures. This alteration is the minimum necessary in order to provide an opening between the two shared structures and to accommodate the accordion storefront system.

- 2. The historic character of a property will be retained and preserved. The removal of distinctive materials or alteration of features, spaces and spatial relationships that characterize a property will be avoided.*

The Project does not involve any alteration or removal of distinctive materials or features, spaces, and spatial relationships.

3. *Each property will be recognized as a physical record of its time, place and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, will not be undertaken.*

No changes are proposed in the Project which would create a false sense of historical development.

4. *Changes to a property that have acquired historic significance in their own right will be retained and preserved.*

Petitioner is not proposing to modify any prior changes to the Property that have acquired historical significance in their own right.

5. *Distinctive materials, features, finishes and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a property will be preserved.*

The Project does not propose changing or removing any of the Property's distinctive materials, features, or finishes.

6. *Deteriorated historic features will be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature will match the old in design, color, texture and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features will be substantiated by documentary and physical evidence.*

The Project's scope does not involve any work to the Property's historic features, deteriorated or otherwise.

7. *Chemical or physical treatments, if appropriate, will be undertaken using the gentlest means possible. Treatments that cause damage to historic materials will not be used.*

Any chemical or physical treatments utilized will be done with the gentlest means possible. Petitioner will take precautionary steps to ensure that treatments that may cause damage to historic materials will not be used.

8. *Archeological resources will be protected and preserved in place. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures will be undertaken.*

The Project's scope does not involve any archaeological resources, however, Petitioner will ensure the mitigation of any disturbed resources if necessary.

9. *New additions, exterior alterations or related new construction will not destroy historic materials, features and spatial relationships that characterize the property. The new work will be differentiated from the old and will be compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportion, and massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment.*

The proposed exterior alterations made by this Project will not alter or destroy any historic materials, features, and spatial relationships which characterize the Property.

10. *New additions and adjacent or related new construction will be undertaken in such a manner that, if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.*

The Project does not propose any new additions to the Property. However, Petitioner will ensure that any and all alterations to the Property will maintain the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment.

Visual Compatibility Standards

LDR Section 4.5.1(E)(8) Visual Compatibility Standards. New construction and all improvements to both contributing and noncontributing buildings, structures and appurtenances thereto within a designated historic district or on an individually designated property shall be visually compatible.

The Project is compliant with the Visual Compatibility Standards, specifically regarding height, width, mass, scale, façade, openings, rhythm, material, color, texture, roof shape, direction, walls of continuity, directional expression, and architectural style. Specifically, any requested alteration to the exterior is necessary and minimal, involving only noncontributing structure(s), and is designed specifically to preserve the historical character of the overall historic district. The Project's scope involves the minimum alterations necessary to allow for Tin Roof's expansion into the adjacent structure, and all alterations are designed such that they do not affect the buildings' façade or alter the architectural style in any way. Specifically:

1. ***Height.*** *The height of proposed buildings or modifications shall be visually compatible in comparison or relation to the height of existing structures and buildings in a historic district for all major and minor development.*

The Project does not entail the modification of building height. As such, this criterion does not apply.

2. ***Front facade proportion.*** *The front facade of each building or structure shall be visually compatible with and be in direct relationship to the width of the building and to the height of the front elevation of other existing structures and buildings within the subject historic district.*


This criterion does not apply as the Project does not involve any alterations to the front façade of either building. However, any proposed modifications or additions to the buildings are designed to be consistent with the façade and the historic character of the buildings.

3. ***Proportion of openings (windows and doors).*** *The openings of any building within a historic district shall be visually compatible with the openings exemplified by prevailing historic architectural styles of similar buildings within the district. The relationship of the width of windows and doors to the height of windows and doors among buildings shall be visually compatible within the subject historic district.*

The proposed new major opening along the east façade (9'-6"W x 10'H) accordion glass door is the near same proportions as that of the larger door/window opening of the existing historic structure (10' x 9'-11"). Also, both opening doors are well balanced on either side of the existing stage. The proposed new minor opening also along the east façade is a (3'W-8'H) storefront, which matches the existing door aforementioned along the east façade.

4. ***Rhythm of solids to voids.*** *The relationship of solids to voids of a building or structure shall be visually compatible with existing historic buildings or structures within the subject historic district for all development, with particular attention paid to the front facades.*

The relationship of solids to voids of the new openings is visually compatible with the existing historic structure. Particular attention was taken to keep new openings in scale to match not only that of the existing North Primary front façade, but also that of the existing opening on the north façade. This new opening on the east façade provides a balanced rhythm of solid and voids with the stage serving as a solid while the two window/door openings framing the stage provide a balance of voids.



5. ***Rhythm of buildings on streets.*** *The relationship of buildings to open space between them and adjoining buildings shall be visually compatible with the relationship between existing historic buildings or structures within the subject historic district.*

This criterion does not apply, as the Project does not alter the relationship of buildings to open space between them. However, the proposed new opening is visually compatible with the existing historic structure and neighboring structures and will create a sense of continuity between the two spaces.

6. ***Rhythm of entrance and/or porch projections.*** *The relationship of entrances and porch projections to the sidewalks of a building shall be visually compatible with existing architectural styles of entrances and porch projections on existing historic buildings and structures within the subject historic district for all development.*

The Project does not involve any alterations to the buildings' existing entrances or porch projections to the sidewalk. The proposed new opening, while not fronting the street or leading to the sidewalk, is visually compatible with existing architectural styles of existing entrances on the building. As aforementioned, particular attention was taken to keep new openings in scale to match not only that of the existing North Primary front façade, but also that of the existing opening on the north façade.

7. ***Relationship of materials, texture, and color.*** *The relationship of materials, texture, and color of the facade of a building and/or hardscaping shall be visually compatible with the predominant materials used in the historic buildings and structures within the subject historic district.*

The Project does not involve any alterations to the buildings' materials, textures, and colors of façade and/or hardscaping. As such, this criterion does not apply.

8. ***Roof shapes.*** *The roof shape, including type and slope, of a building or structure shall be visually compatible with the roof shape of existing historic buildings or structures within the subject historic district. The roof shape shall be consistent with the architectural style of the building.*

The Project does not propose any alterations to the buildings' roofing. As such, this criterion does not apply.

9. ***Walls of continuity.*** *Walls, fences, evergreen landscape masses, or building facades, shall form cohesive walls of enclosure along a street to ensure visual compatibility with historic buildings or structures within the subject historic district and the structure to which it is visually related.*

The Project's scope does not entail modifying the buildings' walls, fences, landscape masses, façades, or any other elements which have street frontage. The proposed new opening, while not fronting the street or leading to the sidewalk, is visually compatible with the historic buildings and the existing architectural styles of existing entrances on the building and is designed to maintain visual cohesiveness with regard to enclosure along the street.

10. ***Scale of a building.*** *The size of a building and the building mass in relation to open spaces, windows, door openings, balconies, porches, and lot size shall be visually compatible with the building size and mass of historic buildings and structures within a historic district for all development.*

The Project does not involve any alterations or additions to the building size and mass. As such, this criterion does not apply.

11. ***Directional expression of front elevation.*** *A building shall be visually compatible with the buildings, structures, and sites within a historic district for all development with regard to its directional character, whether vertical or horizontal.*

The Project does not involve any alterations or additions to the existing buildings' directional character. As such, this criterion does not apply.

12. ***Architectural style.*** *All major and minor development shall consist of only one architectural style per struct not introduce elements definitive of another style.*

While the Project does not involve any significant architectural elements, the Project maintains the use of the building's architectural style which is complimentary to the historic district, and it does not introduce elements definitive of another style.

13. **Additions to individually designated properties and contributing structures in all historic districts.** Visual compatibility shall be accomplished as follows: [Amd. Ord. 01-12 8/21/12]; [Amd. Ord. 38-07 2/5/08]

1. Additions shall be located to the rear or least public side of a building and be as inconspicuous as possible. [Amd. Ord. 38-07 2/5/08]
2. Additions or accessory structures shall not be located in front of the established front wall plane of a historic building. [Amd. Ord. 38-07 2/5/08]
3. Characteristic features of the original building shall not be destroyed or obscured. [Amd. Ord. 38-07 2/5/08]
4. Additions shall be designed and constructed so that the basic form and character of the historic building will remain intact if the addition is ever removed. [Amd. Ord. 38-07 2/5/08]
5. Additions shall not introduce a new architectural style, mimic too closely the style of the existing building nor replicate the original design, but shall be coherent in design with the existing building. [Amd. Ord. 38-07 2/5/08]
6. Additions shall be secondary and subordinate to the main mass of the historic building and shall not overwhelm the original building. [Amd. Ord. 38-07 2/5/08]

The Project does not propose any additions to the buildings. As such, this criterion does not apply.

Exhibit "A"
Proposed Site Plan



























TIN ROOF formely (TAPAS 35)

Exterior Improvement at

4 East Atlantic Avenue Delray Beach, FL, 33444

ARCHITECTURAL:

- A-00 COVER SHEET
- A-01 PROJECT SITE PLAN
- A-02 PROPOSED FLOOR PLAN
- A-10 LIFE SAFETY PLAN
- A-11 COMPOSITE OVERLAY MAP
- A-20 PART PLAN & WEST ELEVATION
- A-41 PART PLAN & NORTH ELEVATION
- A-51 EXTERIOR DOOR SCHEDULES
- A-80 DOOR SCHEDULES & DOOR TYPES

- PARTIAL DEMO OF EXISTING EXTERIOR WALL TO ACCOMMODATE ACCORDION STORMPROOF SYSTEM & STOREFRONT DOOR.
- ALL WORK TO OCCUR WITHIN EXISTING AND VESTED BUILDING AND SITE FOOTPRINT.

DRAWING INDEX

CLIENT:

TIN ROOF DELRAY BEACH, LLC
161017th Avenue South
Nashville, TN 37212

ARCHITECT:

HNM ARCHITECTURE, LLC
3705 North Federal Highway
Delray Beach, FL 33485
Contact: Jaime O. Mayo
T 561-733-2225 E jmayo@hnm-architecture.com

PROJECT TEAM

SCOPE OF WORK

MINIMUM PLUMBING FIXTURE REQUIREMENTS:

302 Persons / 2 = 151 MEN & 151 WOMEN. (Refer to Life Safety Plan A1.1).

TOTAL PERSONS	WATER CLOSETS		LAVATORIES		DRINKING SERVICE	
	MALE	FEMALE	MALE	FEMALE	FOUNTAINS	SINK
302	1 PER 40	1 PER 40	1 PER 75	1 PER 75	1 PER 500	1
TOTAL REQUIRED	4	4	2	2	1	1
TOTAL PROVIDED	3	4	3	3	2	1
	(+3 URINALS) =6					

NOTE:

THE TOTAL PLUMBING FIXTURE COUNT IS FOR COMBINED SITES 4 & 8 EAST ATLANTIC A VENUE.

PLUMBING FIXTURE STATS

VICINITY MAP

ALL WORK TO COMPLY W/ THE FOLLOWING CODES:
THIS PROJECT IS TO BE BUILT IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL CITY OF DELRAY BEACH BUILDING DEPARTMENT REQUIREMENTS AND AMENDMENTS, BROWARD COUNTY REQUIREMENTS AND FOLLOWING CODES:

- 2017 FLORIDA BUILDING CODE
- NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CODE 2014
- FLORIDA FIRE PREVENTION CODE, 6th EDITION
- FLORIDA FIRE PREVENTION CODE W/ BROWARD COUNTY AMENDMENTS
- NFPA 101, 2015 EDITION W/ FLORIDA AMENDMENTS
- NFPA 1 UNIFORM FIRE CODE 2015 W/ FLORIDA AMENDMENTS
- FLORIDA MECHANICAL CODE
- FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE
- ALL LIFE SAFETY DEVICES SHALL REMAIN IN SERVICE DURING DEMO AND CONSTRUCTION
- ANY CHANGES TO FIRE ALARM OR SPRINKLER SYSTEM SHALL BE UNDER SEPARATE PERMIT BY LICENSED INSTALLER

NOTES

TIN ROOF formely (TAPAS 35)

Exterior Improvements at
4 East Atlantic Avenue
Delray Beach, Florida 33444

PROJECT SITE



2019.04.10
TAPAS 35

100% DESIGN

THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF HNM ARCHITECTURE, LLC. IT IS TO BE USED ONLY FOR THE PROJECT AND SITE SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIED HEREIN. IT IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED, COPIED, OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, INCLUDING PHOTOCOPYING, RECORDING, OR BY ANY INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF HNM ARCHITECTURE, LLC.

HNM
ARCHITECTURE



DATE: 2019-09-23

PROJECT: 19-032.1


AS NOTED

COVER SHEET


PROJECT NUMBER: A0.0


Exterior Improvements at
4 East Atlantic Avenue
Delray Beach, Florida 33444

ALL PROJECT SPECIFICATIONS AND RELATED DOCUMENTS ARE THE PROPERTY OF THE ARCHITECT AND MUST BE RETURNED UPON REQUEST. REPRODUCTION OF DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, AND RELATED DOCUMENTS IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WITHOUT THE ARCHITECT'S WRITTEN PERMISSION IS PROHIBITED.


	SHEET PROJECT NUMBER 19-032.1
	ISSUED DATE 2019-09-23
	SCALE 1/8"=1'-0"

A-1.0.1






3	3/16" = 1.0"
---	--------------



1 EXISTING SOUTH ELEVATION



2 PROPOSED SOUTH ELEVATION

TIN ROOF formerly (TAPAS 35)
Exterior Improvements at
4 East Atlantic Avenue
Delray Beach, Florida 33444

THIS DOCUMENT PREPARED BY HNM ARCHITECTURE FOR THE CLIENT'S USE ONLY. IT IS NOT TO BE USED FOR ANY OTHER PROJECT OR PURPOSE. THE CLIENT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE AGENCIES. HNM ARCHITECTURE SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY CONSEQUENCES ARISING FROM THE USE OF THIS DOCUMENT.

HNM
ARCHITECTURE



PROJECT NO.	19-032.1
DATE	2019-11-19
STATUS	AS NOTED
DESCRIPTION	EXIST & PROPOSED SOUTH ELEVATIONS

A4.2

TIN ROOF

Exterior Improvement at
8 East Atlantic Avenue Delray Beach, FL, 33444

ARCHITECTURAL:

- SURVEY
- A-00 COVER SHEET
- A-01 DEMO SITE PLAN
- A-01.1 PROPOSED SITE PLAN
- A-02 COMPOSITE OVERLAY PLAN
- A-11 LIFE SAFETY
- A-40 PART PLAN & NORTH ELEVATIONS
- A-41 PART PLAN & EAST ELEVATIONS
- A-42 EXISTING & PROPOSED WEST ELEVATION

- REMOVAL OF EXISTING ADA LIFT, PARTIAL DEMO OF EXISTING WOOD RAILING/WOOD COUNTER AND RELOCATING THE EXISTING COOLER/STORAGE INSIDE TIN ROOF EXISTING KITCHEN.
- ALL WORK TO OCCUR WITHIN EXISTING AND VESTED BUILDING AND SITE FOOTPRINT.

NOTE:
PER CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT CODE, FOR THIS CITY IS COMBINED WITH 4 EAST ATLANTIC AVE. PLEASE REFER TO TIN ROOF COI APPLICATION, SUBMITTED CONCURRENTLY WITH THIS APPLICATION.

DRAWING INDEX

CLIENT:
TIN ROOF DELRAY BEACH, LLC
1610 17th Avenue South
Nashville, TN 37212

ARCHITECT:
HNM ARCHITECTURE, LLC
3705 North Federal Highway
Delray Beach, FL 33435
Contact: Jaime O. Mayo
T: 561-733-2225 E: jmayo@hnm-architecture.com

SCOPE OF WORK


ALL WORK TO COMPLY W/ THE FOLLOWING CODES:
THIS PROJECT IS TO BE BUILT IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL CITY OF DELRAY BEACH BUILDING DEPARTMENT REQUIREMENTS AND AMENDMENTS, BROWARD COUNTY REQUIREMENTS AND FOLLOWING CODES:

- 2017 FLORIDA BUILDING CODE
- NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CODE 2014
- FLORIDA FIRE PREVENTION CODE, 6th EDITION
- 2015 FLORIDA FIRE PREVENTION CODE W/ BROWARD COUNTY AMENDMENTS
- NFPA-101, 2015 EDITION W/ FLORIDA AMENDMENTS
- NFPA 1 UNIFORM FIRE CODE 2015 W/ FLORIDA AMENDMENTS
- FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE
- ALL LIFE SAFETY DEVICES SHALL REMAIN IN SERVICE DURING DEMO AND CONSTRUCTION
- ANY CHANGES TO FIRE ALARM OR SPRINKLER SYSTEM SHALL BE UNDER SEPARATE PERMIT BY LICENSED INSTALLER

PROJECT TEAM

NOTES

VICINITY MAP



Tin Roof
Exterior Improvements at
8 East Atlantic Avenue
Delray Beach, Florida 33444

2019-11-19
TAG COMMENTS: 1
REV. 1
REVISION

THIS DOCUMENT IS THE PROPERTY OF HNM ARCHITECTURE, LLC. IT IS TO BE USED ONLY FOR THE PROJECT AND SITE SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIED HEREIN. IT IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED, COPIED, OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, INCLUDING PHOTOCOPYING, RECORDING, OR BY ANY INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF HNM ARCHITECTURE, LLC.

HNM
ARCHITECTURE
ARCHITECTS
1610 17th Avenue South
Nashville, TN 37212
Phone: 615-259-1111
www.hnm-architecture.com




FLORIDA BOARD OF ARCHITECTS
REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT - NO. 001230
EXPIRATION DATE: 12/31/2024

PROJECT NO. 19-032
DATE: 2019-09-23
SCALE: AS NOTED
SHEET: 1


COVER SHEET

A0.0



Tin Roof
Exterior Improvements at
8 East Atlantic Avenue
DeRay Beach, Florida 33444

THIS PLAN IS THE PROPERTY OF HMM ARCHITECTURE. IT IS TO BE USED ONLY FOR THE PROJECT AND SITE SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIED HEREON. NO PART OF THIS PLAN IS TO BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, INCLUDING PHOTOCOPYING, RECORDING, OR BY ANY INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF HMM ARCHITECTURE.




PROJECT NO.	19-032
DATE	2019-09-23
SCALE	1"=10'
PLAN	DEMO SITE PLAN

SECTION NUMBER
A-0.1

1"=10'

19-032

JOURNAL OF DOCUMENTATION



JIMMY'S BISTRO

TIN ROOF EXPANSION FORMERLY TAPAS
35" ROK BRG) UNDER SEPARATE COA APPLICATION SUBMITTED CONCURRENTLY WITH THIS APPLICATION

NEW 8'-6" FT X 10' FT SLIDING GLASS DOORS REFER TO TN WORK SEPARATE COA APPLICATION SUBMITTED CONCURRENTLY WITH THIS APPLICATION.

NEW 10' FT X 10' FT SLIDING GLASS DOORS REFER TO TN WORK SEPARATE COA APPLICATION SUBMITTED CONCURRENTLY WITH THIS APPLICATION.

NEW 10' FT TIGHT WOOD FENCE & RELOCATED ADA LIFT STATION.

NEW 10' FT TIGHT WOOD FENCE & RELOCATED ADA LIFT STATION.

NEW 8' FT TIGHT WOOD FENCE DIVOR FENCE WALL.

EXIST. STAGE

NEW APPELAL PLANT MOUNTED ON ROLL DOWN GATE

NEW HANDRAIL STAIRS

NEW EXTENDED ALUMINUM DRINK WAL.

EXISTING PARKING LOT.

EXISTING OUTDOOR DINING PATIO

ADA

EXISTING GARDEN ATTACHED TO MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT

EXISTING METER ROOM DOOR

EXISTING HALF WALL WOOD FENCING

EXISTING STAGE DECKING & STAIRS

EXISTING STAGE DECKING

EXISTING ALUMINUM BALCONY


EXISTING WOOD FENCING

North Arrow


[illegible]

3 PROPOSED EAST ELEVATION


2019-12-18	TAC COMMENTS-1	
2019-11-19	TAC COMMENTS-1	
03	DATE	REASON



PART PLAN, EXIST' & PROPOSED EAST ELEVATIONS



1 EXISTING SOUTH ELEVATION



2 PROPOSED SOUTH ELEVATION

Tin Roof

Exterior Improvements at

8 East Atlantic Avenue
Delray Beach, Florida 33444

DATE: 2019-11-19
BY: HNM
PROJECT: EXTERIOR IMPROVEMENTS AT TIN ROOF
SHEET: 19-032
SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"



19-032
2019-11-19
AS NOTED
EXISTING & PROPOSED SOUTH ELEVATIONS

A4.2

