

	Applicant:	100%	Reviewer Comments
	Reviewer:	#1	
	I. ORGANIZATION CAPACITY & QUALIFICATIONS (20%)		
1	Company history, length of time established, overall growth/stability (out of 5)	5	
2	Stability/growth of organization funding (out of 5)	5	
3	Staff composition and resources are adequate to implement activities (out of 5)	5	
4	Program/project leadership and staff qualifications (out of 5)	5	
5	Collaborative relationships/affiliations relative to program/project (out of 5)	5	
	Organization Capacity & Qualifications Subtotal	25	
		20%	
	II. EXPERIENCE & TRACK RECORD (25%)		
6	Demonstrated experience/success with similar program/project (out of 5)	5	
7	Overall apporach and strategy (out of 5)	5	
8	References (out of 5)	5	
	Experience & Track Record Subtotal	15	
		25%	
	III. APPLICATION/PROPOSAL NARRATIVE (20%)		
9	Strenth of application (out of 5)	5	
10	Strength of business narrative and business plan (clearly and thoroughly describes	5	
	business purpose, goals, objectives, etc.) (out of 5)	5	
	Realistic time frame to implement program/project (out of 5)	5	
	Realistic plans to sustain program/project (out of 5)	5	
13	Strategic planning process / current plan (out of 5)	5	
	Application/Proposal Narrative Responses Subtotal	25	
		20%	
	IV. BUDGET, FINANCES, SUSTAINABILITY (25%)		
	All required financial documents are submitted (out of 5)	5	
	Financial documents demonstrate responsible financial management (out of 5)	5	
16	Realistic plans to sustain program/project (out of 5)	5	
17	Effective and efficient delivery of quality services is demonstrated in relation to the	5	
	budget/pro forma allocation (out of 5)		
18	Financial documents demonstrate responsible financial management (out of 5)	5	
	Budget, Finances, Sustainability Subtotal	25	
		25%	
	V. OVERALL PROPOSAL (10%)		
	All envisioned activities addressed (out of 5)	5	
20	Mandatory Technical Requirements of RFP (out of 5)	5	
	Evaluation Plan Subtotal	10	
		10%	
	TOTAL POINTS	100	
	PERCENTAGE	100%	

## NOTES AND COMMENTS

## Rating Scale

- 0 Not addressed/response of no value. Fails to meet minimum requirements and/or information is missing.
- 1 Weak. Significant deficiencies in meeting requirements/information is unclear.
- 2 Fair. Some Applicability
- 3 Good. meets minimum specifications.
- 4 Very Good. Substantial applicability.
- 5 Excellent. Exceeds applicability.