

Applicant:		ARTS GARAGE (CREATIVE CITY COLLABORATIVE)			
Program Name:		Community Building through the Arts			
Amount Requested:		\$275,000			
Total Amount Recommended:		\$275,000			
		80%			
Reviewer:		1	2	3	4
I. ORGANIZATION CAPACITY (20%)					
1	Length of time established, overall growth/stability (out of 5)	4	4	3	4
2	Stability/growth of organization funding (out of 5)	4	4	3	4
3	Board composition, role, commitment to program/project (out of 5)	5	5	4	4
4	Demonstrated experience/success with similar program/project (out of 5)	4	5	4	4
5	Program/project leadership and staff qualifications (out of 5)	5	5	4	4
6	Collaborative relationships/affiliations relative to program/project (out of 5)	5	5	4	4
7	Strategic planning process / current plan (out of 5)	5	4	3	3
Organization Capacity Subtotal		32	32	25	27
		18%	18%	14%	15%
II. NEED FOR PROGRAM/PROJECT (20%)					
8	Program/project need consistent with CRA Overall Need (out of 5)	3	3	3	4
9	Program/project need consistent with organization mission (out of 5)	4	5	3	5
10	Documentation of program/project need (out of 5)	4	4	4	4
11	Uniqueness / lack of duplication, or affiliation with similar resources (out of 5)	4	3	3	4
Need for Program/Project Subtotal		15	15	13	17
		15%	15%	13%	17%
III. PROJECT/PROGRAM DESCRIPTION (10%)					3%
12	Innovative vs proven approach and justification (out of 5)	4	4	3	4
13	Target population(s) clearly defined and within guidelines (out of 5)	4	4	4	3
14	Activities clearly described and consistent with logic model (out of 5)	4	5	4	4
15	Staff and resources adequate to implement activities (out of 5)	4	5	3	4
16	Activities likely to result in stated outputs/outcomes (out of 5)	4	4	4	4
17	Realistic time frame to implement program/project (out of 5)	4	4	4	4
Program/Project Description Subtotal		24	26	22	23
		8%	9%	7%	8%
IV. LOGIC MODEL / PROJECTED RESULTS (20%)					
18	Stated program/project goal clear and relevant to CRA Overall Need (out of 5)	4	3	3	4
19	Clear relationship between activities, outputs, and outcomes (out of 5)	5	5	3	4
20	Activities appropriate to program/project goal (out of 5)	5	5	4	4
21	Clear, measurable outputs (out of 5)	4	5	3	5
22	Clear, measurable outcomes (out of 5)	4	4	3	4
23	Program/project results likely to lead to stated Impacts (out of 5)	4	5	3	4
Logic Model/Projected Results Subtotal		26	27	19	25
		17%	18%	13%	17%

Reviewer:		1	2	3	4
V. EVALUATION PLAN (10%)					
24	All CRA-funded activities addressed (out of 5)	5	4	4	4
25	Outputs presented with measurable indicators (out of 5)	5	5	4	5
26	Outcomes presented with measurable indicators (out of 5)	5	4	3	4
27	Evaluation processes clearly described (who, how/tools, when) (out of 5)	5	5	4	4
28	Evaluation processes reasonable, appropriate (out of 5)	4	4	4	4
29	Implementation responsibility/process clearly defined (out of 5)	4	5	3	4
30	Application/usefulness of evaluation results (out of 5)	4	5	3	4
Evaluation Plan Subtotal		32	32	25	29
		9%	9%	7%	8%
VI. BUDGET & SUSTAINABILITY (20%)					
31	Adequate, appropriate expense budget to implement program/project (out of 5)	5	5	3	4
32	Line item costs explained/justified in narrative (out of 5)	4	4	3	4
33	Use of CRA funds clearly identified, may be tracked (out of 5)	4	5	4	4
34	Sufficient mix of funding secured to implement program/project (out of 5)	4	4	4	4
35	Mix & status of non-CRA funding solicited / pending (out of 5)	4	4	3	5
36	Financial documents demonstrate responsible financial management (out of 5)	4	5	4	4
37	Realistic plans to sustain program/project (out of 5)	4	5	3	3
Budget & Sustainability Subtotal		29	32	24	28
		17%	18%	14%	16%
TOTAL POINTS		158	164	128	149
PERCENTAGE		84%	87%	68%	81%
NOTES AND COMMENTS					
Committee Chair:					