

Evaluation Tabulation Sheet

Selection Committee

Chad Fowler, Police (1- Chair)

Nancy Paul, Administration (2)

Laura Karpaviciute, Administration (3)

Date: March 12, 2024 and April 16th 2024

Print Name: Charles Spencer

Signature: *Edward C. Spencer, Jr.*

RFSP No.: 005-24

Title: Speed Detection Camera System for School Zones

SELECTION COMMITTEE	RedSpeed Florida, LLC	SaferSpeeds, LLC	Sensys Gatso Group USA, Inc	Blue Line Solutions LLC.
Committee Member No. 1	98.2	45	54.6	76.6
Committee Member No. 2	73.2	60 55	79.6	78.6 68.6
Committee Member No. 3	84.2	39	61.6	56.6
TOTAL SCORE	255.6	144 139	195.8	211.8 201.8

SELECTION COMMITTEE	NovoaGlobal, Inc	Altumint, Inc	Conduent State & Local Solutions, Inc	Verra Mobility
Committee Member No. 1	63.4	92.8	96.2	63.2
Committee Member No. 2	81.4	53.8	89.2	88.2
Committee Member No. 3	81.4	80.8	76.2	74.2
TOTAL SCORE	226.2	227.4	261.6	225.6

Presentation/Interview Scores with Shortlisted Firm(s) (if Conducted)

SELECTION COMMITTEE	RedSpeed Florida, LLC	NovoaGlobal, Inc	Altumint, Inc	Conduent State & Local Solutions, Inc	Verra Mobility
Committee Member No. 1	20	15	15	13	12
Committee Member No. 2	18	10	10	15	10
Committee Member No. 3	19	17	17	17	16
Total Cumulative Score	312.6	268.2	269.4	306.6	263.6

Firm's Position Number After Points Were Tallied

Proposers	RedSpeed Florida, LLC	NovoaGlobal, Inc	Altumint, Inc	Conduent State & Local Solutions, Inc	Verra Mobility
Designation Number	1	4	3	2	5

Note: Tie Breaking Procedures: A tie shall exist whenever two or more Proposers receive the same final score. Tie breaking procedures shall only be applied when a tie exists between the highest ranking or scoring Proposers. The tie breaking procedure shall only be applied in the final step of the selection process. If presentations or interviews are not conducted, tie breaking procedures will be applied at the conclusion of the evaluation and scoring of the written proposals. If presentations or interviews are conducted, tie breaking procedures will be applied at the conclusion of the presentations or interviews and ranking of the proposers. 1. Whenever two or more proposals are determined to be equal with respect to price, quality, and service, the proposal from the business that certifies that it has implemented a drug-free workplace program pursuant to Section 287.087; Florida Statutes shall be given preference in the award process. 2. If the tied Firm have all implemented a drug-free workplace program, and a tie continues to exist, the award shall be made based on random selection by the Procurement Official before at least one witness.

Information relating to the March 12, 2024 Public Selection Committee Meeting.

- The Committee held public discussions, scored and ranked proposals received.
- The Committee Short-Listed to the top five (5) (highest scored) Firms (see highlighted Firms), and has elected to conduct oral presentations/interviews with the selected Firms.
- Pursuant to the process outlined in the solicitation (RFSP No. 005-24). The City will conduct oral presentations/interviews with the top five (5) (highest scored) Firms. This process will provide for a maximum of twenty (20) additional points per Selection Committee member, per each Proposer. A Proposer may receive all or a portion of this amount depending on the Oral Presentation provided by the Proposer as determined by the Selection Committee.
- The Procurement Department will reach out to the shortlisted Firms, and provide them with a date and time of the presentation/interview.
- During the validation of the Selection Committee scores sheets, Procurement noticed a mathematical error which has been adjusted accordingly. This change/error did not change any Firms portions/ranking.

Information relating to the April 16, 2024 Public Selection Committee Meeting.

- **Public Meeting Notes:** On April 16, 2024, the Selection Committee held a public meeting where they discussed, scored and ranked the five (5) shortlisted Firms, based on the Firms oral presentations and interviews. The final outcome of this meeting/process can be found above. In accordance with the solicitation process, City Code, City policies and/or procedures, the City will proceed with agreement negotiations with most advantageous Firm (Firm with the highest cumulative score).

Evaluation Tabulation Sheet

Selection Committee


Chad Fowler, Police (1- Chair)

Nancy Paul, Administration (2)

Laura Karpaviciute, Administration (3)

Date: March 12, 2021 and

Print Name: Charles Spencer

Signature: 

RFSP No.: 005-24

April 16, 2024

Title: Speed Detection Camera System for School Zones

SELECTION COMMITTEE	* RedSpeed Florida, LLC 2	* SaferSpeeds, LLC 8	* Sensys Gatso Group USA, Inc 7	* Blue Line Solutions LLC. 6
Committee Member No. 1	98.2	45	54.6	76.6
Committee Member No. 2	73.2	60 55.8	79.6	78.6 68.6
Committee Member No. 3	84.9	39	61.6	56.6
TOTAL SCORE	255.6	144 139.8	195.8	211.8 201.8

SELECTION COMMITTEE	* NovoaGlobal, Inc 4	* Altumint, Inc 3	* Conduent State & Local Solutions, Inc 1	* Verra Mobility 5
Committee Member No. 1	63.4	92.8	96.2	63.2
Committee Member No. 2	81.4	53.8	89.2	88.2
Committee Member No. 3	81.4	80.8	76.2	74.2
TOTAL SCORE	226.2	227.4	261.6	225.6

Presentation/Interview Scores with Shortlisted Firm(s) (if Conducted)

SELECTION COMMITTEE	RedSpeed Florida, LLC	SaferSpeeds, LLC	Sensys Gatso Group USA, Inc	Blue Line Solutions LLC.
Committee Member No. 1	20	/	/	/
Committee Member No. 2	18	/	/	/
Committee Member No. 3	19	/	/	/
Total Cumulative Score	312.6	/	/	/

SELECTION COMMITTEE	NovoaGlobal, Inc	Altumint, Inc	Conduent State & Local Solutions, Inc	Verra Mobility
Committee Member No. 1	15	15	13	12
Committee Member No. 2	10	10	15	10
Committee Member No. 3	17	17	17	16
Total Cumulative Score	268.2	269.4	306.6	263.6

Firm's Position Number After Points Were Talled

Proposers	RedSpeed Florida, LLC	SaferSpeeds, LLC	Sensys Gatso Group USA, Inc	Blue Line Solutions LLC.
Designation Number	1			

Proposers	NovoaGlobal, Inc	Altumint, Inc	Conduent State & Local Solutions, Inc	Verra Mobility
Designation Number	4	3	2	5

Note: Tie Breaking Procedures: A tie shall exist whenever two or more Proposers receive the same final score. Tie breaking procedures shall only be applied when a tie exists between the highest ranking or scoring Proposers. The tie breaking procedure shall only be applied in the final step of the selection process. If presentations or interviews are not conducted, tie breaking procedures will be applied at the conclusion of the evaluation and scoring of the written proposals. If presentations or interviews are conducted, tie breaking procedures will be applied at the conclusion of the presentations or interviews and ranking of the proposers. 1. Whenever two or more proposals are determined to be equal with respect to price, quality, and service, the proposal from the business that certifies that it has implemented a drug-free workplace program pursuant to Section 287.087; Florida Statutes shall be given preference in the award process. 2. If the tied Firm have all implemented a drug-free workplace program, and a tie continues to exist, the award shall be made based on random selection by the Procurement Official before at least one witness.