IN THE CITY COMMISSION CHAMBERS OF THE CITY OF DELRAY BEACH, FLORIDA

ORDER OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF DELRAY BEACH, FLORIDA

- 1. This appeal of an approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) and a Class V Site Plan for the new construction of a mixed-use building containing a medical office and residential unit on the property located at 226 Palm Court, located within the Del-Ida Park Historic District, has come before the City Commission on May 3, 2016.
- 2. The Applicant and City staff presented documentary evidence and testimony to the City Commission pertaining to the appeal of the COA and Class V Site Plan. All of the evidence is part of the record in this case. Required findings are made in accordance with Subsections I and II.

I. COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:

a. Pursuant to the **Future Land Use Element, Objective A-1**, property shall be developed or redeveloped in a manner so that the future use and intensity is appropriate and complies in terms of soil, topographic, and other applicable physical considerations, is complimentary to adjacent land uses, and fulfills remaining land use needs.

Is Future Land Use Element, Objective A-1 met?

Yes No	
--------	--

I	Э.	Pursuant	to	the F	uture	Land	Use	Eleme	nt,	Objective	A- 4	4 , the
redevel	lopme	nt of land	d an	d build	dings s	shall pr	ovide	for the	pro	eservation	of h	nistorio
resourc	es. T	he object	ive :	shall b	e met	throug	h cor	ntinued	adh	erence to	the	City's
Historic	Pre	servation	Ord	linance	and,	where	app	licable,	to	architectur	al o	desigr
guidelir	nes.											

guidelines.	Ordinance a	and, where	applicable,	to architectu	ral design
Is Future Land U	Jse Element,	Objective A	A-4 met?		
Yes	No	_			
c. Pursuant approval or recommend property located within Preservation Board must provisions of Section 4 sites and districts and the	ding approval a historic dis at make a find .5.1 of the L	I of any land strict or desi- ling that the and Develor	d use or dev gnated as a requested ac oment Regul	historic site, t	lication for he Historicent with the
Is Future Land U	Jse Element,	Policy A-4.	1 met?		
Yes	No				
d. Pursuant to for new development of proposal will have on to odors, dust, traffic volumential to negatively in it is determined that a neighborhood, the projection of the p	or redevelopre the stability on the stability on the safe the safe or proposed of the safe or the safe	ment, the C f nearby nei ulation patter ety, habitabil development	ity shall con ghborhoods. rns shall be i ity and stabil will result i	Factors such reviewed in ter ity of residential in a degradation	ct that the n as noise, ms of their al areas. If
Is Housing Elem	ent, Policy A	A-11.3 met?			
Yes	_ No				

II.	LAND DE	VELOPMENT	REGUL	ATIONS:
-----	---------	-----------	-------	---------

Yes _____No ___

a. Pursuant to LDR Section 2.4.5(F)(5) , Findings , in addition to provisions of Chapter Three, the approving body must make a finding that the development of the property pursuant to the site plan will be compatible and harmonious with the adjacent and nearby properties and the City as a whole, so as not to cause substantial depreciation of property values.
Are the requirements of LDR Section 2.4.5(G)(5) met?
YesNo
b. Pursuant to LDR Section 2.4.6(H)(5), Procedures for Obtaining Permits and Approvals, Certificate of Appropriateness for Individually Designated Historic Structures and all Properties Located within Historic Districts, Findings prior to approval, a finding must be made that any Certificate of Appropriateness which is to be approved is consistent with Historic Preservation purposes pursuant to Objective A-4 of the Land Use Element of the Comprehensive Plan and specifically with provisions of Section 4.5.1, and the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.
Are the requirements of LDR Section 2.4.6(H)(5) met?
YesNo
c. Pursuant to LDR Section 3.1.1(A), Required Findings: Future Land Use Map, the resulting use of land or structures must be allowed in the zoning district within which the land is situated and said zoning must be consistent with the applicable land use designation as shown on the Future Land Use Map.
Are the requirements of LDR Section 3.1.1(A) met?

d. Pursuant to LDR Section 3.1.1(B), Required Findings: Concurrency, concurrency as defined pursuant to Objective B-2 of the Future Land Use Element of the Comprehensive Plan must be met and a determination made that the public facility needs, including public schools, of the requested land use and/or development application will not exceed the ability of the City and The School District of Palm Beach County to fund and provide, or to require the provision of, needed capital improvements in order to maintain the Levels of Service Standards established in Table CI-GOP-1 of the adopted Comprehensive Plan of the City of Delray Beach.

County to fund and provide, or to require the provision of, needed capital improvements in order to maintain the Levels of Service Standards established in Table CI-GOP-1 of the adopted Comprehensive Plan of the City of Delray Beach.
Are the requirements of LDR Section 3.1.1(B) met?
YesNo
e. Pursuant to LDR Section 3.1.1(C) , Required Findings: Consistency , a finding of overall consistency may be made even though the action will be in conflict with some individual performance standards contained within Article 3.2, provided that the approving body specifically finds that the beneficial aspects of the proposed project (hence compliance with some standards) outweighs the negative impacts of identified points of conflict.
Are the requirements of LDR Section 3.1.1(C) met?
YesNo
f. Pursuant to LDR Section 3.1.1(D), Required Findings: Compliance with LDRs, whenever an item is identified elsewhere in these Land Development Regulations (LDRs), it shall specifically be addressed by the body taking final action on a land development application/request. Such items are found in Section 2.4.5 and in special regulation portions of individual zoning district regulations
Are the requirements of LDR Section 3.1.1(D) met?
YesNo
3. The City Commission has applied the Comprehensive Plan and LDR

3. The City Commission has applied the Comprehensive Plan and LDF requirements in existence at the time the original site plan was submitted.

evidence to support its findings in the record	submitted and adopts the facts contained
in the record including but not limited to the s	staff reports, testimony of experts and other
competent witnesses supporting these finding	gs.
5. Based on the entire record before	ore it, the City Commission approves
denies the Class III Site Plan Modification	on and hereby adopts this Order this <u>3rd</u>
day of May, 2016, by a vote of in favor a	nd opposed.
ATTEST:	Cary D. Glickstein, Mayor
Chevelle Nubin, City Clerk	
Chevene reason, only clerk	
Approved as to legal form And sufficiency:	
City Attorney	
Department Head:	

The City Commission finds there is ample and competent substantial

4.

Timothy Stillings, Director of Planning & Zoning