		ı	ı	ı				
Experience,     Background, References	Missie Barletto	Xavier Falconi	Kevin Matthews	Mark Stivers	Score	Experience, Background, References 30 Points		
	Missi	Xavie				Strengths	Weaknesses	
	27.0	26.0	28.0	28.0	27.3	S FL and PBC experience, PM local experience, 4 references stated responsive and on time	# of current litigations, demonstration of neighborhood design infrastructure, low detail of	
Arcadis, U.S.							public outreach in proposal	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	28.0	25.0	28.0	29.0	27.5	Delray beach experience, whole neighborhood experience (traffic calming), same team	Change of ownership, some litigation, no traffic engineering on org chart	
Baxter & Woodman (Mathews						throughout multiple projects, several references stated they would hire firm again, approach to	g	
Consulting)						neighborhood programs, grant experience, specialize in municipality work, traffic engineering		
	28.0	28.0	28.0	29.0	28.3	knowledge		
	25.0	20.0	27.0	27.0	24.8	Local experience specific to this project, experience with CRA, Osceola Park Neighborhood	No references responded to the survey request, proposal lacked specific experience (generic),	
Calvin, Giordano & Associates	28.0					project with public outreach	no major awards/recognition listed	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
СРН	28.0	20.0	26.0	27.0	25.3	Experience is relevant but not local (central FL), letters of reference in proposal, numerous awards	One reference responded to feedback request, traffic calming, local similar projects, alley way	
						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	designs, litigation	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	25.0	20.0	26.0	27.0	24.5	Stormwater/water and sewer experience, permitting, public involvement experience with sub-	No complete streetscape in existing neighborhood, one reference responded to	
Craig A. Smith & Associates						consultant	survey, alley way designs, limited experience as prime for roadway/neighborhood projects	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		,	
	29.0	20.0	28.0	28.0	26.3	Civil experience in PBC, government-related experience, streetscape and complete street	Traffic calming, limited awards and recognition	
Craven, Thompson & Associates						experience, positive reference feedback, roadway experience in S FL		
	29.0	20.0	28.0	28.0	26.3			
	24.0	22.0	25.0	26.0	24.3	Experience in Delray Beach and PBC, positive reference feedback, long-term references, CRA	Delray experience is as a subconsultant, limited experience as it relates to roadway design,	
Engenuity Group						experience	primarily survey experience, traffic experience	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Kimley-Horn and Associates	26.0	25.0	27.0	28.0	26.5	Detailed and long history/experience with City, roadway design, stormwater, streetscape, 50	Specific City work referenced in proposal, no references responded to feedback request,	
					,	years experience in S FL	pending local litigation	
	26.0	25.0	27.0	28.0	26.5			
Wantman Group, Inc	29.0	25.0	28.0	27.0		Most reference feedback with very positive feedback (top firm in "stable"), number of awards		
						and recognition, project manager has related experience for this project, local roadway		
	29.0	25.0	28.0	27.0	27.3	experience		
	20.0	20.0	20.0	21.0	27.0			

		1	1			Final Rankin	ng Form	
Similar Completed     Projects	Missie Barletto	Xavier Falconi	Kevin Matthews	Mark Stivers	Score	Similar Completed Projects 20 Points		
	Miss	Xavie				Strengths	Weaknesses	
	15.0	18.0	17.0	19.0	17.3	Jupiter Inlet Colony similar project, N Flagler Drive project,	Local projects specific to our City, involvement of neighborhood in projects, community projects in relation to other proposers	
Arcadis, U.S.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		in relation to other proposers	
						Virtually flawless projects that they've completed	Specific traffic calming	
Baxter & Woodman (Mathews Consulting)	19.0	18.0	20.0	19.0	19.0	for our City, approach to community outreach in other projects		
	19.0	18.0	20.0	19.0	19.0			
	19.0	15.0	19.0	17.0	17.5	Specific project in this neighborhood, other Delray Beach projects related to this scope of work, 18	Traffic calming, public outreach	
Calvin, Giordano & Associates						projects from 2011 to now		
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
СРН	18.0	15.0	17.0	17.0	16.8	Relative projects, public outreach in other projects, CRA work for Panama City	Similar projects are inland, projects by proposed team	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Craig A. Smith & Associates	16.0	15.0	16.0	17.0	16.0	Westgate CRA project, drainage projects	Focus on stormwater and utilities, private development projects, no complete neighborhood projects, no projects with public involvement or traffic calming	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		, and the second	
	20.0	15.0	18.0	18.0	17.8	Wayfinding, ADA, CPTED studies, bicycle/ped studies, same team throughout projects, Miami	Only 3 projects in PBC, Floridana project lacked details for traffic calming	
Craven, Thompson & Associates						Gardens and Lake Worth Neighborhood widening projects, stormwater analysis, civil engineering	, and the same of	
	20.0	15.0	18.0	18.0	17.8			
	15.0	15.0	16.0	16.0	15.5	Very strong in surveying work	Most completed projects were as subconsultant, listed 10 projects, no roadway or neighborhood design projects	
Engenuity Group	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A		design projects	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Relevant similar projects, permeable pavement	Team proposed only worked on two projects,	
Kimley-Horn and Associates	19.0	17.0	20.0	18.0	18.5	design, bioswales and bioretention projects, US1 improvement project, MLK project, road widening	traffic projects	
						projects		
	19.0	17.0	20.0	18.0	18.5			
Wantman Group, Inc	19.0	17.0	18.0	17.0	17.8	Streetscape, wayfinding, bicycle and pedestrian projects, Saddle Park project, NE 2nd Ave design	Neighborhood projects with public outreach, team proposed hasn't work on many similar completed projects, Delray Marketplace listed	
	19.0	17.0	18.0	17.0	17.8		as City (and other projects incorrectly list location as City rather than County)	
	19.0	17.0	18.0	17.0	17.8		as City (and other projects incorrectly list	

# City of Delray Beach Final Ranking Form

Approach to Project     Management	Missie Barletto	Xavier Falconi	Kevin Matthews Mark Stivers		Score	Approach to Project Management 20 Points		
	Miss	Xavi	Kevir	Ма		Strengths	Weaknesses	
	13.0	18.0	16.0	15.0	15.5		Standard approach for any project, did not tailor project management approach to our City, time	
Arcadis, U.S.	10.0		18.0	18.0		an check, project management positively schedule, generi ghlighted in reference checks	schedule, generic pictures	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Baxter & Woodman (Mathews Consulting)	19.0	18.0	19.0	19.0	18.8	Aggressive schedule, inclusive of engineer and general contractor, 30-60-90% plan check, discussion of project understanding, website development & newsletter for public outreach, stormwater routing, outlined specific issues to be	Traffic plan	
	19.0	20.0	19.0	19.0	19.3	resolved		
Calvin, Giordano & Associates	16.0	14.0	18.0	17.0	16.3	Covered all subjects requested	Did not demonstrate knowledge of Delray, communication plan staff-dominated, generic approach to a unique situation, not enough detail for public outreach plan, no 90% plan submittal,	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Dublic outrooch approach vany detailed treffic	lengthy schedule for design	
СРН	18.0	15.0	17.0	18.0	17.0	Public outreach approach very detailed, traffic control plans, Addressed business outreach, basis of design report, constructability review, recognized inherit constraints in neighborhood		
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Craig A. Smith & Associates	16.0	15.0	18.0	16.0	16.3	Mapped out roads for project, aggressive schedule, 30-60-90% plan review, alternate methods for products to be utilized	Public outreach was light, lacked specifics for each approach, communication focused on notifying rather than planning	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Craven, Thompson & Associates	18.0	15.0	15.0	18.0	16.5	Subconsultant for public outreach, complete GIS documents would be prepared for City, clear outline of approach (particularly research), no proposed 3D/laser surveying, 30-60-90% plans	Complaint line, project website, unrealistic timeline (main concern for points)	
	18.0	15.0	15.0	18.0	16.5	Overview of grant funding and applying for	Public involvement and traffic approaches,	
Engenuity Group	13.0	15.0	17.0	17.0	15.5		general approach with no specific detail to this project, primarily surveying approach, lack of project understanding , timeline	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Budget control and QAQC, detailed schedule with	Public meetings as needed, generic approach,	
	18.0	17.0	18.0	17.0	17.5	10 month design	no substance to public outreach	
Kimley-Horn and Associates								
	18.0	17.0	18.0	17.0	17.5	Public involvement plan with website, outreach at	General approach not specific to the	
Wantman Group, Inc	20.0	18.0	18.0	16.0	18.0	30-60% plans, referenced CRA master plan for neighborhood and City's new streets policy, pervious pavements in alley ways, presentation	neighborhood, elaboration on finding flaws	
	20.0	18.0	18.0	18.0	18.5	specified generalizations in proposal (specifically project manager's approach)		

4. Organizational Structure	Missie Barletto Xavier Falconi	Xavier Falconi	Kevin Matthews	Mark Stivers	Score	Organizational Structure 20 Points	
	Miss	Xav	Kevii			Strengths	Weaknesses
	15.0	16.0	14.0	17.0	15.5	Balanced team, international firm, in-house staff	ubconsultant is out of town, public outreach epresentatives, availability chart and workload,
Arcadis, U.S.	19.0			18.0		QAQC, lac	QAQC, lack of clarity on org chart
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Group of angineers and subconsultants. PM and	Traffic engineer, 6 subconsultants
Baxter & Woodman (Mathews Consulting)	17.0	18.0	17.0	19.0	17.8	Troup of engineers and subconsultants, PM and staff availability, Quality Assurance (QA), depth of eam (backup resources), included traffic engineering in interview	Traint engineer, o subconsultants
	20.0	20.0	17.0	19.0	19.0		
	14.0	12.0	18.0	17.0	15.3	Support staff, numerous office locations, team has worked together on numerous projects, good depth	Description of staff, public outreach discipline lacked specific staff designee, standard organizational structure (not tailored to this
Calvin, Giordano & Associates							project), traffic calming personnel, heavy workload (75% or greater) for most key personnel, "pine" inspections by Shenandoah
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Depth in firm (all in-house), work capacity,	Traffic calming personnel, public involvement
СРН	16.0	12.0	17.0	18.0	15.8	pecialist electrical and geotechnical, availability for sub-consulta	personnel, other missing personnel such as electrical and geotechnical, no QAQC shown, no availability for sub-consultants, personnel heavy
	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A		on utilities but not specific to transportation
	N/A 15.0	N/A 17.0	N/A 16.0	N/A 16.0	N/A		
Craig A. Smith & Associates	15.0	17.0	16.0	10.0	16.0		engineering, "civil stormwater" sectioned bundled, key personnel not involved until bidding
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Subconsultant for public outreach, team has	QAQC, traffic engineering personnel, highly
Craven Thempson 9 Acceptage	17.0	14.0	19.0	18.0	17.0		utilized firm, key personnel availability
Craven, Thompson & Associates	17.0	16.0	19.0	18.0	17.5		
	17.0	15.0	15.0	16.0	15.8	Strong subconsultants, 50% availability for this project	6 subconsultants, most of team is 50% or more committed to other projects, flat org chart,
Engenuity Group						7	supplementing engineering to subconsultant, quality control for surveying only (not whole
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		project), org chart hard to follow
Kimley-Horn and Associates	16.0	15.0	18.0	17.0	16.5	Resources and support is strong, local office, in- house staff, dedicated personnel for traffic	No public outreach personnel, project org chart extremely limited (staff assigned to only one
						operations and QAQC tas as-	ask such as QAQC), subconsultant staff noted as-needed, 4 key staff, workload availability not detailed, not tailored to this project
	16.0	15.0	18.0	17.0	16.5		
Wantman Group, Inc	16.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	16.8	house	Team has limited time together, PM experience is vaguely related to neighborhood improvements or public projects, separated traffic engineering and signalization, personnel
	16.0	17.0	17.0	17.0	16.8		for geotechnical, workload and availability

# City of Delray Beach Final Ranking Form

	etto	oni	ews	ırs	Score	Interviews 10 Points		
5. Interviews	Missie Barletto	Xavier Falconi	Kevin Matthews	Mark Stivers				
						Strengths	Weaknesses	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Arcadis, U.S.								
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Ctrong processes death of detail well expenied	Presentation not as neighborhood specific as	
Baxter & Woodman (Mathews Consulting)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Strong presence, depth of detail, well organized presentation, traffic engineer's ability to communicate his experience and knowledge, temporary traffic calming, adjust plan according to	• .	
Consularity)	10.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	9.8	public feedback, will use good data to backup public's suggestions		
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Calvin, Giordano & Associates								
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
СРН								
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Croig A Smith & Accordance	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Craig A. Smith & Associates	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Specific examples of how to accomplish tasks for this project,	Project engineer's knowledge of CPTED, outreach construction oriented, only firm to not	
Craven, Thompson & Associates							state website for public outreach, lack of strong presence for public outreach, stated little Delray	
	5.0	7.0	8.0	8.0	7.0		Beach complete streets policy knowledge	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Engenuity Group								
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Approach to public outrooch (gates of	Nanlag video looked officionay of parking etc	
Kimley-Horn and Associates	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Approach to public outreach (gates of communication), evaluate each item individually, creole and Spanish translator, neighborhood pride	Naples video lacked efficiency of parking etc., asked question regarding bike paths and got an answer regarding roundabouts, underwhelmed	
						(style of crosswalks, ADA, etc.) with presentation style, or	with presentation style, only 4 person project team, project team not clearly identified	
	7.0	8.0	9.0	7.0	7.8	Alleyways (encroachment, fences, etc.), traffic	Traffic engineer not present, \$40M project just	
Wantman Group, Inc	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	engineer in-house, project manager's depth of knowledge, CPTED knowledge, knowledge of	awarded to firm (concern for availability on this project)	
						community, strong presentation (incorporating community identity)	project,	
	10.0	7.0	9.0	8.0	8.5	Some in the state of the state		

## City of Delray Beach Final Ranking Form

Buyer Name: Ryan Lingholm

Date of Technical Evaluations: 3/27/17

Date of Final Evaluations: 4/3/17

#### Legend:

Initial Ranking Total Final Ranking Total

75.5 N/A

73.8 N/A

74.8 N/A

72.8 N/A

71.0 N/A

77.5 85.0

79.0 86.8

79.8 88.8

83.0 95.3

Ranking

Arcadis, U.S.

Consulting)

СРН

Baxter & Woodman (Mathews

Calvin, Giordano & Associates

Craig A. Smith & Associates

Craven, Thompson & Associates

Kimley-Horn and Associates

Engenuity Group

Wantman Group, Inc

Technical Evaluation Scores - Top-most score within each Proposer's row of each criterion Recorded Score Changes\* - Middle scores within each Proposer's row of each criterion \*Recorded Score Changes represent a member's preliminary score before scores were finalized Final Evaluation Scores - Bottom-most score within each Proposer's row of each criterion N/A - Proposer was not shortlisted, no scores recorded Grayed Cell - No score changes during the technical or final evaluation meeting

### **Shortlisted Firms:**

- 1 Baxter & Woodman (Mathews Consulting)
- 2 Wantman Group, Inc
- 3 Kimley-Horn and Associates
- 4 Craven, Thompson & Associates