




Offshore Oil & Gas Exploration: Seismic Airgun Blasting

Seismic airgun blasting is a process used to search for and map oil and gas deposits deep below the seafloor. A typical seismic survey involves a ship traveling across the ocean in successive parallel lines while towing one or more seismic airgun arrays. These airguns create one of the loudest sources of noise in the oceans, behind explosives for military testing and shipping.¹

How does seismic airgun blasting work?

Seismic airguns release pressurized air blasts to create powerful sound waves that travel through the water column² and seabed, and provide information about the seafloor.³ These sound waves travel as echoes back to the surface, where they are captured by audio monitors called hydrophones.⁴



Seismic airgun blasting characteristics:

- Loud blasts repeated every 10-12 seconds⁵ for days, weeks, or months at a time.⁶
- 12-48 individual airguns are towed in each array; a single ship can tow up to 96 airguns.⁷
- The surface area covered by the largest seismic array was 21 times larger than the National Mall in Washington, D.C.⁸
- Seismic airgun sounds can be heard up to 2,500 miles from the source under some conditions, approximately the distance of a flight from New York to Los Angeles.⁹

Why is seismic airgun blasting a problem?

Not only is seismic airgun blasting the first step toward dirty and dangerous offshore drilling, but the process itself is harmful to marine life. Seismic airguns produce repetitive, explosive sounds that can travel over large distances.¹⁰ Because sound travels so efficiently underwater, airgun blasts can be heard far from their sources.¹¹ As the sound waves from the individual seismic blasts move away from the airguns, they merge into continuous noise pollution that drowns out other sounds in the ocean.




Where is seismic airgun blasting being considered?

On April 28, 2017, President Trump signed Executive Order 13797 aimed at expanding offshore drilling and exploration in U.S. waters.¹² The order calls for a review of the current Five-Year Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Oil and Gas Leasing Program (for 2017-2022) and directs the responsible agencies to fast-track the permitting process for seismic airgun blasting.

Following that directive, the Department of the Interior reversed a decision made in January 2017 to deny seismic airgun blasting permits in an area twice the size of California, stretching from Delaware to Florida.¹³ The Bureau of Ocean Energy Management is now reconsidering issuing those permits. Concurrently, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration resumed evaluation of incidental take authorizations that would grant seismic survey companies permission to harm marine mammals.

If approved, seismic airgun permits would allow overlapping seismic surveys along the Atlantic coast that could result in even more severe impacts to marine life.



Permits for Atlantic seismic surveys being reviewed by BOEM.

Biological impacts of seismic airgun blasting: Overview


Seismic airgun noise can have significant and wide-ranging impacts on fish, shellfish, whales and sea turtles. According to the government's own estimates, seismic airgun blasting in the Atlantic could harm or harass marine mammals like dolphins and whales hundreds of thousands of times, and could disturb the vital activities of millions more.¹⁴

Fish

Fish species vary in their ability to detect and use sound, and seismic airgun noise therefore has a range of impacts on fish species. Seismic airgun noise elicits varying degrees of startle and alarm responses in fish, including escape responses, and changes in schooling patterns, water column positions and swim speeds.¹⁵


Examples include:

- 40-80 percent reduced catch rates in Atlantic cod, haddock, rockfish, herring, sand eel and blue whiting.^{16 17 18 19 20}
- 78 percent decline in reef fish abundance during evening hours, the time of day when fish habitat use is typically highest for the study area.²¹





- Behavioral responses in cod, such as swimming away from seismic sources, and damaged hearing structures in pink snapper.²²
- Elevated stress hormones and chemicals in sea bass.²³
- Schools of some fish species, including blue whiting, moving lower in the water column, which could take extra energy or displace the fish from behaviors needed for survival.²⁴



Invertebrates (shellfish)

Invertebrate exposure to seismic noise can cause physical injury and mortality at near-distances and impede development of early life history stages.

Examples include:

- Significant increase in mortality for scallops exposed to seismic airgun noise, particularly over long periods of time.^{25 26}
- Stress responses, including physiological changes, in bivalves, which are clam-like species.²⁷
- Slower development rates in crabs²⁸ and scallop larvae²⁹ after repeated exposure to nearby seismic sound.
- Damage to small organs that control balance and orientation in rock lobsters³⁰ and spiny lobsters,³¹ up to a year after seismic airgun blasting occurred.
- Distinctive flinching response and persistent alterations in reflex behavior for scallops following exposure to seismic airguns.³²
- Sensory and internal organ damage in seven stranded giant squid after nearby seismic surveys.³³
- Significant decrease in zooplankton abundance, and a two- to threefold increase in dead adult and larval zooplankton at distances of at least $\frac{3}{4}$ miles from seismic source.³⁴




Whales

Marine mammals rely on sound for feeding, communication, navigation and other behaviors necessary for survival. Seismic airgun noise can cause hearing impairment as well as physiological and behavioral changes.³⁵ These include avoidance, displacement, communication masking, vocalization changes and chronic stress, which could cause increases in stress hormones,³⁶ lead to behavioral changes, and reduce effectiveness of the immune system.³⁷

Examples include:

- Changes in Bowhead Whale breathing patterns, avoidance and silencing of calls, which could interrupt communication between whales, including mothers, calves and potential mates.^{38 39 40}
- Declines in the feeding rates of Sperm Whales.⁴¹
- Altered Fin Whale vocalizations, which are important for communication, and abandonment of habitat.⁴²






- Responses of North Atlantic Right Whale to other sources of low-frequency noise demonstrates that noise may be associated with increases in stress-related hormones in baleen whales.⁴³

Sea Turtles

Sea turtle hearing range overlaps with the higher frequency noises from seismic airguns. Studies show that seismic airgun noise can negatively affect sea turtles.

Examples include:

- Increases in erratic swimming behavior.⁴⁴
- Responses, including swimming away from the noise source, suggesting avoidance of seismic noise.⁴⁵
- Risk of entanglement in survey equipment.⁴⁶



Conclusion

The United States should protect the diverse array of whales, fish, turtles and invertebrates that live along the Atlantic coast and are threatened by proposed seismic airgun blasting. The potential damage to marine animals and ecosystems, and the communities and industries that depend on these resources, is too great to risk.

The National Marine Fisheries Service should not approve Incidental Harassment Authorizations (IHAs) nor should the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management approve the permits for seismic airgun blasting in the Atlantic. If the IHAs and seismic permits are approved, Oceana recommends the following mitigation practices to minimize harm to marine life:

- Sharing of data between companies, the government and the public so seismic airgun blasting happens only once and impacts are minimized.
- Require that companies fund third party passive acoustic monitoring before, during and after seismic airgun blasting to observe any effects on marine life, archive acoustic data recordings and immediately stop seismic airgun surveys if animals are detected during the surveys.
- Require third party visual observers to watch for whales, dolphins and sea turtles before, during and after the seismic airgun surveys, record sightings and immediately stop seismic airgun blasting if animals are within view.
- Do not conduct seismic airgun blasting during endangered and threatened species calving or nesting seasons, or in Critical Habitat areas, Essential Fish Habitat, or in other areas important to the survival of fish, invertebrates, sea turtles or marine mammals.

Citations can be found here:

http://usa.oceana.org/sites/default/files/662/seismic_fact_sheet_long_final_7-25.pdf



Citations:

- ¹ Badelt B (2015). The Inventor of the Seismic Air Gun Is Trying to Supplant His Controversial Creation. *Hakai Magazine*. Available: <https://www.hakaimagazine.com/article-short/inventor-seismic-air-gun-trying-supplant-his-controversial-creation>
- ² The Acoustic Ecology Institute (2004) Seismic Surveys at Sea: The contributions of airguns to ocean noise. *Backgrounder*. Available: <https://www.acousticecology.org/oceanseismicplans.html>
- ³ National Research Council (2003) Ocean Noise and Marine Mammals. Washington, DC: *The National Academies Press*.
- ⁴ The Acoustic Ecology Institute (2004) Seismic Surveys at Sea: The contributions of airguns to ocean noise. *Backgrounder*. Available: <https://www.acousticecology.org/oceanseismicplans.html>
- ⁵ National Research Council (2003) Ocean Noise and Marine Mammals. Washington, DC: *The National Academies Press*.
- ⁶ Blackwell S, et al. (2015) Effects of Airgun Sounds on Bowhead Whale Calling Rates: Evidence for Two Behavioral Thresholds. *PLoS ONE* 10.6
- ⁷ National Research Council (2003) Ocean Noise and Marine Mammals. Washington, DC: *The National Academies Press*.
- ⁸ Schuler, M (2015). MV Sanco Sword Tows Record-Setting Seismic Streamer Spread. *gCaptain*. Available: <http://gcaptain.com/mv-sanco-sword-tows-record-setting-seismic-streamer-spread/#.VoGysZfHIYA> Accessed: June 28, 2017
- ⁹ Nieukirk S, et al. (2012) Sounds from airguns and fins whales recorded in the mid-Atlantic Ocean, 1999-2009. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 131(2), 1102-1112.
- ¹⁰ Thode, A., et al. (2010). Long range transmission loss of broadband seismic pulses in the Arctic under ice-free conditions. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 128(4), EL181-EL187.
- ¹¹ Nieukirk, S., et al. (2012) Sounds from airguns and fins whales recorded in the mid-Atlantic Ocean, 1999-2009. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 131: 1102-1112.
- ¹² The White House (2017) Presidential Executive Order Implementing and America-First Offshore Energy Strategy. In: *Office of the Press Secretary*. Available: <https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2017/04/28/presidential-executive-order-implementing-america-first-offshore-energy>. Accessed June 16, 2017.
- ¹³ The Department of the Interior (2017) Interior Department Advances America-First Offshore Energy Strategy. In: *Office of the Secretary*. Available: <https://www.doi.gov/pressreleases/interior-department-advances-america-first-offshore-energy-strategy> Accessed June 28, 2017.
- ¹⁴ BOEM (2012) Atlantic OCS Proposed Geological and Geophysical Activities Mid-Atlantic and South Atlantic Planning Areas, Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement. In: *Tables*. Available: <https://www.boem.gov/BOEM-2014-001-v1/> Accessed June 16, 2017.
- ¹⁵ McCauley R, et al. (2000) Marine seismic surveys – A Study of Environmental Implications. *APPEA Journal*. 692-708.
- ¹⁶ Lokkeborg S (1991) Effects of a geophysical survey on catching success in longline fishing. *ICES CM Documents*.
- ¹⁷ Engas A, et al. (1996) Effects of seismic shooting on local abundance and catch rates of cod (*Gadus morhua*) and haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 53: 2238-2249. doi: 10.1139/cjfas-53-10-2238.
- ¹⁸ Skalski J, et al. (1992) Effects of sounds from a geophysical survey device on catch-per-unit-effort in a hook-and-line fishery for rockfish (*Sebastes* spp.). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 49:1357-1365.
- ¹⁹ Hassel A, et al. (2004) Influence of seismic shooting on the lesser sandeel (*Ammodytes marinus*). *ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil*, 61: 1165-1173.
- ²⁰ Slotte A, et al. (2004) Acoustic mapping of pelagic fish distribution and abundance in relation to a seismic shooting area off the Norwegian west coast. *Fisheries Research*, 67: 143-150. doi: 10.1016/j.fishres.2003.09.046
- ²¹ Paxton AB, et al. (2017) Seismic survey noise disrupted fish use of a temperate reef. *Marine Policy*. 78:68 - 73. doi: 10.1016/j.marpol.2016.12.017
- ²² McCauley R, et al. (2000) Marine seismic surveys: Analysis of airgun signals; and effects of air gun exposure on humpback whales, sea turtles, fishes and squid. *Rep. from Centre for Marine Science and Technology, Curtin Univ., Perth, WA, for Austral. Petrol. Prod. Assoc., Sydney, NSW*, 8-5.
- ²³ Santulli A, et al. (1999) Biochemical responses of European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L) to the stress induced by offshore experimental seismic prospecting. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*. 38: 1105-1114,
- ²⁴ Slotte A, et al. (2004) Acoustic mapping of pelagic fish distribution and abundance in relation to a seismic shooting area off the Norwegian west coast. *Fisheries Research*. 67:143-150.
- ²⁵ Semmens J, et al. (2017) Are seismic surveys putting bivalve and spiny lobster fisheries at risk. *Oceanoise 2017 Conference Presentation*, Vilanova i la Geltrú, Barcelona, Spain.



- ²⁶ Day R, *et al.* (2016) Assessing the impact of marine seismic surveys on Southeast Australian scallop and lobster fisheries. University of Tasmania: FRDC Report.
- ²⁷ Moriyasu M, *et al.* (2004) Effects of seismic and marine noise on invertebrates: A literature review. *Canadian Science Advisory Secretariat*. Research document 2004/126: 1-43.
- ²⁸ Christian J, (2003) Effect of seismic energy on snow crab (*Chionoecetes opilio*). *Fisheries and Oceans Canada*. Environmental Funds Project No. 158.
- ²⁹ Aguilar de Soto N, *et al.* (2013) Anthropogenic noise causes body malformations and delays development in marine larvae. *Scientific Reports*. 3: 283 doi:10.1038/srep02831.
- ³⁰ Day R, *et al.* (2016) Assessing the impact of marine seismic surveys on Southeast Australian scallop and lobster fisheries. University of Tasmania: FRDC Report.
- ³¹ Semmens J, *et al.* (2017) Are seismic surveys putting bivalve and spiny lobster fisheries at risk. *Oceanoise 2017 Conference Presentation*, Vilanova i la Geltrú, Barcelona, Spain.
- ³² Day R, *et al.* (2016) Assessing the impact of marine seismic surveys on Southeast Australian scallop and lobster fisheries. University of Tasmania: FRDC Report.
- ³³ Guerra Á, *et al.* (2004) A review of the records of giant squid in the north-eastern Atlantic and severe injuries in *Architeuthis dux* stranded after acoustic explorations. *ICES CM*. 200: 29.
- ³⁴ McCauley R, *et al.* (2017) Widely used marine seismic survey air gun operations negatively impact zooplankton. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*. Article number: 0195. doi:10.1038/s41559-017-0195
- ³⁵ Gordon J, *et al.* (2004) A review of the effects of seismic surveys on marine mammals. *Marine Technology Society Journal*. 37:16 – 34.
- ³⁶ Dalin A, *et al.* (1993) The effect of transport stress on plasma levels of catecholamines, cortisol, corticosteroid-binding globulin, blood cell count, and lymphocyte proliferation. *Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica*. 34:59-68
- ³⁷ Gordon J, *et al.* (2004) A review of the effects of seismic surveys on marine mammals. *Marine Technology Society Journal*. 37:16 – 34.
- ³⁸ Richardson W, *et al.* (1999) Displacement of migrating bowhead whales by sounds from seismic surveys in shallow waters of the Beaufort Sea. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 106: 2281-2281.
- ³⁹ Robertson F, *et al.* (2013). Seismic operations have variable effects on dive-cycle behavior of bowhead whales in the Beaufort Sea. *Endangered Species Research*. 21:143-160. Doi: 10.3354/esr00515.
- ⁴⁰ Blackwell S, *et al.* (2013) Effects of airgun sounds on bowhead whale calling rates in the Alaskan Beaufort Sea. *Marine Mammal Science*, 29(4).
- ⁴¹ Miller P, *et al.* (2009) Using at-Sea Experiments to Study the Effects of Airguns on the Foraging Behavior of Sperm Whales in the Gulf of Mexico. *Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers* 56: 1168-81.
- ⁴² Castellote M, *et al.* (2012) Acoustic and Behavioural Changes by Fin Whales (*Balaenoptera Physalus*) in Response to Shipping and Airgun Noise. *Biological Conservation* 147: 115-22.
- ⁴³ Rolland RM, *et al.* (2012) Evidence That Ship Noise Increases Stress in Right Whales. *Proceedings of the Royal Society - Biological Sciences*. 279: 2363-68.
- ⁴⁴ McCauley, R., *et al.* (2000) Marine seismic surveys: Analysis of airgun signals; and effects of air gun exposure on humpback whales, sea turtles, fishes and squid. *Rep. from Centre for Marine Science and Technology, Curtin Univ., Perth, WA, for Austral. Petrol. Prod. Assoc., Sydney, NSW*, 8-5.
- ⁴⁵ McCauley, R., *et al.* (2000) Marine seismic surveys: Analysis of airgun signals; and effects of air gun exposure on humpback whales, sea turtles, fishes and squid. *Rep. from Centre for Marine Science and Technology, Curtin Univ., Perth, WA, for Austral. Petrol. Prod. Assoc., Sydney, NSW*, 8-5.
- ⁴⁶ Weir C (2007) Observations of marine turtles in relation to seismic airgun sound off Angola. *Marine Turtle Newsletter*, 116:17-20.