

Experience 40 Pts. Max.	Sam Metott	Kevin Matthews	Jeff Needle	Criterion Score	Experience 40 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions
AE Engineering	36.0	36.0	28.0	100.0	Listing of 13 years experience, up to three subconsultants, marine environment experience strong, limited specific marina experience, several staff with over 10 years experience
CIMA Engineering Corp	38.0	36.0	25.0	99.0	20+ years experience, lack of thorough accomplishments/awards listed, CEI experience mostly roadway
Edgewater Resources	38.0	35.0	35.0	108.0	Owner and operator experience in addition to working on marina projects; list of achievements, awards, publications and lectures; 9 years CEI experience in Florida; limited local similar experience; has non-Florida CEI experience
Wantman Group	40.0	37.0	35.0	112.0	Over 30 years of marina experience, addition of PIO to team, awards and certification list is thorough, engineer of record

Example Projects and Reference Feedback 35 Pts. Max.	Sam Metott	Kevin Matthews	Jeff Needle	Criterion Score	Example Projects and Reference Feedback 35 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions
AE Engineering	33.0	33.0	25.0	91.0	Limited reference feedback, team has limited time working together on various projects, lacked budget information for project, Pahokee and Camino Real projects similar in scope, presentation provided additional projects similar scope
CIMA Engineering Corp	25.0	32.0	30.0	87.0	Reference feedback was positive, performed marine seawall in Keys, project list didn't include similar facility projects
Edgewater Resources	32.0	31.0	32.0	95.0	Ft Lauderdale marina project, reference feedback lacked, referenced 300+ marina projects (mostly engineering)
Wantman Group	35.0	32.0	32.0	99.0	Project list includes older projects but relevant to this project; team has experience working together; reference feedback was positive; Lake Worth, Pompano Pier, Harbor Isles, Jupiter projects relevant to this project

<b>Organizational Structure</b> <b>25 Pts. Max.</b>	Sam Metott	Kevin Matthews	Jeff Needle	<b>Criterion Score</b>	<b>Organizational Structure</b> <b>25 Pts. Max.</b> Scoring Discussions
AE Engineering	22.0	23.0	23.0	<b>68.0</b>	Charted workload, demonstrated work availability for this project with support consultants, discussed large number of current projects in workload, provided clarity of staff availability during presentation
CIMA Engineering Corp	15.0	21.0	15.0	<b>51.0</b>	Didn't specify current workload or availability, detailed methodology of approach to project, lack of staff with marina project experience
Edgewater Resources	20.0	21.0	15.0	<b>56.0</b>	Didn't outline workload specifically, org chart hard to follow chain of command
Wantman Group	23.0	22.0	15.0	<b>60.0</b>	Overall workload of firm showed availability to commit to this project, large firm, included public outreach officer

Firm	Score
AE Engineering	259.0
CIMA Engineering Corp	237.0
Edgewater Resources	259.0
Wantman Group	271.0