Buyer Name: Ryan Lingholm Technical Evaluations: 4/3/2019 Presentations: 4/8/2019 Final Evaluations: 4/8/2019

	Final Evaluations: 4/8/2019					
Experience 40 Pts. Max.	Jeff Needle	Bob Diaz	Mike Karali	Criterion Score	Experience 40 Pts. Max.	
					Scoring Discussions Collectively team has 13 years experience, average team member firm time is 2.5 years, 20 LAP projects, public appraised how?, awareness award, understanding of contractual obligations and how to accomplish, comparison of years experience with other firms ranked mid-pack in comparison to other proposals	
AE Engineering	20.0	40.0	32.0	92.0		
					Two staff awards, two law suits, average employee firm time is 4 years, extensive experience with LAP projects (22 projects in last 5 years), 33 on prime project list, detailed approach with PIO door hangers and other relevant components, detailed approach to project with PIO explanation, LAP experience detailed in interview	
Calvin, Giordano, and Associates	33.0	40.0	39.0	112.0		
					CEI services had 25 years of experience, 12 years average experience of firm employee, completed Phase 1 of the project, PE in Charge has 41 years experience, what was the communications plan for Phase 1 that team says will be for this project?, 160 years of total team experience, clarification on communication plan during interview	
KCI Technologies	30.0	40.0	40.0	110.0	Fairly new company (one year old), individuals have experience but company is new,	
					distribute flyers for public outreach, close in proximity to City	
K-Factor	25.0	30.0	20.0	75.0	Phase 2 experience, past experience with City (34 total, 7 current), website for	
Mathews Consulting (Baxter & Woodman)	35.0	40.0	39.0	114.0	communication with hotline for public, using primavera software, detailed approach to project	
Example Projects and	1			1	Evenuela Ducianta and Dafananaa Frankrask	
Reference Feedback	Jeff		Mike	Criterion	Example Projects and Reference Feedback	

AE Engineering	15.0	20.0	20.0		Scoring Discussions 6 example projects with no change orders, senior project engineer not found on any example projects, no example projects with City, proposed team lacks project experience together
AE Engineering	15.0	20.0	20.0		
				55.0	
					9 relevant projects, senior project engineer or contract support on any example projects, 3 projects had change orders, lab tech and geo tech haven't previously worked on projects together, change order question had detailed response in interview
Calvin, Giordano, and					
Associates	22.0	20.0	22.0	64.0	
KCI Technologies	15.0	20.0	20.0	55.0	One project for the City, 10 relevant projects, project manager worked on all listed projects, 3 relevant projects had change order, Oakland Park had 23 change orders
					Few projects listed, experience predates company, team member haven't worked together under the company, no reference feedback for completed projects
K-Factor	15.0	20.0	10.0	45.0	
Mathews Consulting					Relevant experience including one exact similar project, entire team has worked on a project together, out of 10 projects listed 6 projects listed change orders, assistant project engineer worked on all listed projects, explanation of change order process during interview
(Baxter & Woodman)	20.0	25.0	24.0	69.0	

Organizational Structure 35 Pts. Max.	Jeff Needle	Bob Diaz	Mike Karali	Criterion Score	Organizational Structure 35 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions
					Solicitation summary document references wrong project, 25% avail. For senior project engineer with 10% proposed, City project manager name not on org chart (attention to detail)
AE Engineering	30.0	25.0	23.0	78.0	
AL Engineering	50.0	20.0	20.0		City project manager name not on org chart (attention to detail), senior project engineer had 60% availability, reference to road not in project (page 62), senior project engineer clarified during interview to be 100% committed
Calvin, Giordano, and					
Associates	30.0	30.0	31.0	91.0	City project manager name stated, project manager 50% committed, inspectors 100%
KCI Technologies	25.0	35.0	32.0	92.0	committed
					City project manager name not listed (attention to detail), sufficient time of staff allocate to project (PM 70%, rest of team 100%)
K-Factor	25.0	30.0	25.0	80.0	
Mathews Consulting (Baxter & Woodman)	30.0	35.0	32.0		Proposed construction manager 40 hours per week on site, City project manager's name not listed on org chart

Firm	Score
AE Engineering	225.0
Calvin, Giordano, and Associates	267.0
KCI Technologies	257.0
K-Factor	200.0
Mathews Consulting (Baxter & Woodman)	280.0