Buyer Name: Ilyse Triestman Technical Evaluations: 10/8/2019 Presentations: 10/25/2019 Final Evaluations: 10/25/2019

					Final Evaluations: 10/25/2019
Experience Bryan		Isaac]	Criterion	Experience
	Heller	Kovner	Kent Walia		40 Pts. Max.
					Scoring Discussions
					couldn't find specific CEI; typo with City; Excellent overall; especially planning. Strength: consultant has lots of experience with these types of projects; Won FDOT
					awards for best construction
AE Engineering	35.0	27.0	37.0	99.0	
					excellent overall; especially controlling.; Strengths: experience in doing projects in a lot of similar cities; promotes innovative design solutions; promotes value
					engineering; conflict resolution strategy; online document exchange portal.
Baxter and Woodman	35.0	38.0	37.0	110.0	
	00.0	00.0	07.0	110.0	Strength: discusses how they will prevent asphalt tracking on side streets;
					methodology identifies challenges and solutions
Calvin Giordano	25.0	40.0	40.0	105.0	Strength - currently works with City staff; received construction awards; weakness -
					no clear methodology for outreach or engaging the public.
Eisman and Russo	20.0	29.0	30.0	79.0	SW Neighborhoods
Example Projects and		ı			Francis Decises and Defended Francis
	_			O	Example Projects and Reference Feedback
Reference Feedback 25 Pts. Max.	Bryan Heller	Isaac Kovner	Kent Walia	Criterion Score	Example Projects and Reference Feedback 25 Pts. Max.
			Kent Walia		25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions
			Kent Walia		25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength -
			Kent Walia		25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions
			Kent Walia		25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength- Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on
			Kent Walia		25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength- Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on
			Kent Walia		25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength- Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on
			Kent Walia		25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references, no statements that they served as CEI; Strength-Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on project reference
25 Pts. Max.	Heller	Kovner		Score	25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength - Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on project reference Strength: is doing current City projects; has done many projects of similar scope;
25 Pts. Max.	Heller	Kovner		Score	25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength - Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on project reference
25 Pts. Max.	Heller	Kovner		Score	25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength - Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on project reference Strength: is doing current City projects; has done many projects of similar scope;
25 Pts. Max.	Heller	Kovner		Score	25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength - Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on project reference Strength: is doing current City projects; has done many projects of similar scope;
25 Pts. Max.	Heller	Kovner		Score	25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength - Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on project reference Strength: is doing current City projects; has done many projects of similar scope;
25 Pts. Max. AE Engineering	Heller	16.0	20.0	Score 56.0	25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength - Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on project reference Strength: is doing current City projects; has done many projects of similar scope; subcontractor team has done similar projects.
25 Pts. Max.	Heller	Kovner		Score	25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength-Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on project reference Strength: is doing current City projects; has done many projects of similar scope; subcontractor team has done similar projects.
25 Pts. Max. AE Engineering	Heller	16.0	20.0	Score 56.0	25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength - Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on project reference Strength: is doing current City projects; has done many projects of similar scope; subcontractor team has done similar projects.
25 Pts. Max. AE Engineering	Heller	16.0	20.0	Score 56.0	25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength-Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on project reference Strength: is doing current City projects; has done many projects of similar scope; subcontractor team has done similar projects. Strength: numerous similar projects completed; weakness: one of the projects had 10
25 Pts. Max. AE Engineering	Heller	16.0	20.0	Score 56.0	25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength-Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on project reference Strength: is doing current City projects; has done many projects of similar scope; subcontractor team has done similar projects. Strength: numerous similar projects completed; weakness: one of the projects had 10
25 Pts. Max. AE Engineering	Heller	16.0	20.0	Score 56.0	25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength-Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on project reference Strength: is doing current City projects; has done many projects of similar scope; subcontractor team has done similar projects. Strength: numerous similar projects completed; weakness: one of the projects had 10
25 Pts. Max. AE Engineering Baxter and Woodman	20.0 20.0	16.0 22.0	20.0	56.0 67.0	25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength-Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on project reference Strength: is doing current City projects; has done many projects of similar scope; subcontractor team has done similar projects. Strength: numerous similar projects completed; weakness: one of the projects had 10
25 Pts. Max. AE Engineering	Heller	16.0	20.0	Score 56.0	25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength-Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on project reference Strength: is doing current City projects; has done many projects of similar scope; subcontractor team has done similar projects. Strength: numerous similar projects completed; weakness: one of the projects had 10 change orders.
25 Pts. Max. AE Engineering Baxter and Woodman	20.0 20.0	16.0 22.0	20.0	56.0 67.0	25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength-Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on project reference Strength: is doing current City projects; has done many projects of similar scope; subcontractor team has done similar projects. Strength: numerous similar projects completed; weakness: one of the projects had 10
25 Pts. Max. AE Engineering Baxter and Woodman	20.0 20.0	16.0 22.0	20.0	56.0 67.0	25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength - Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on project reference Strength: is doing current City projects; has done many projects of similar scope; subcontractor team has done similar projects. Strength: numerous similar projects completed; weakness: one of the projects had 10 change orders.
25 Pts. Max. AE Engineering Baxter and Woodman	20.0 20.0	16.0 22.0	20.0	56.0 67.0	25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength - Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on project reference Strength: is doing current City projects; has done many projects of similar scope; subcontractor team has done similar projects. Strength: numerous similar projects completed; weakness: one of the projects had 10 change orders.
25 Pts. Max. AE Engineering Baxter and Woodman	20.0 20.0	16.0 22.0	20.0	56.0 67.0	25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength - Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on project reference Strength: is doing current City projects; has done many projects of similar scope; subcontractor team has done similar projects. Strength: numerous similar projects completed; weakness: one of the projects had 10 change orders.
25 Pts. Max. AE Engineering Baxter and Woodman	20.0 20.0	16.0 22.0	20.0	56.0 67.0	25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions Wanted City to provide references; no statements that they served as CEI; Strength-Relevant roadway projects with many years of experience; Weakness: Typo on project reference Strength: is doing current City projects; has done many projects of similar scope; subcontractor team has done similar projects. Strength: numerous similar projects completed; weakness: one of the projects had 10 change orders.

Organizational Structure 35 Pts. Max.	Bryan Heller	Isaac Kovner	Kent Walia	Criterion Score	Organizational Structure 35 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions
AE Engineering	25.0	33.0	30.0	88.0	Weakness: Some of the consultants would only be available 50% of the time.
Baxter and Woodman	30.0	35.0	27.0	92.0	Weakness: some of the team's key members don't have a high percentage of availability
Calvin Giordano	25.0	29.0	32.0	86.0	Strength - majority of the team is being handles in house
Eisman and Russo	25.0	28.0	30.0	83.0	Strength - good availability of most of the team members.

Firm	Score
AE Engineering	243.0
Baxter and Woodman	269.0
Calvin Giordano	258.0
Eisman & Russo	221.0
#REF!	

Shortlist to top 3