

Experience 40 Pts. Max.	Michael Karali	Kevin Matthews	Brian Ruscher	Criterion Score	Experience 40 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions
AE Engineering	38.0	37.0	39.0	114.0	Methodology lacked details especially ref. public outreach
Baxter and Woodman	39.0	37.0	40.0	116.0	detailed methodology; really nailed this section.
Calvin, Giordano & Assoc.	35.0	36.0	39.0	110.0	Methodology lacked details especially ref. public outreach
Craven Thompson & Assoc.	32.0	36.0	37.0	105.0	
Eisman & Russo Consulting Engineers	35.0	36.0	35.0	106.0	
KCI Technologies	34.0	35.0	38.0	107.0	

SW Neighborhoods

Example Projects and Reference Feedback 25 Pts. Max.	Michael Karali	Kevin Matthews	Brian Ruscher	Criterion Score	Example Projects and Reference Feedback 25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions
AE Engineering	24.0	23.0	24.0	71.0	
Baxter and Woodman	24.0	23.0	25.0	72.0	
Calvin, Giordano & Assoc.	24.0	23.0	24.0	71.0	
Craven Thompson & Assoc.	24.0	22.0	25.0	71.0	Listed a lot of building for experience

Eisman & Russo Consulting Engineers	21.0	21.0	21.0	63.0	
KCI Technologies	22.0	22.0	23.0	67.0	

Organizational Structure 35 Pts. Max.	Michael Karali	Kevin Matthews	Brian Ruscher	Criterion Score	Organizational Structure 35 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions
AE Engineering	32.0	32.0	33.0	97.0	
Baxter and Woodman	34.0	33.0	34.0	101.0	
Calvin Giordano	33.0	32.0	34.0	99.0	
Craven, Thompson & Assoc.	28.0	31.0	33.0	92.0	
Eisman & Russo Consulting Engineers	32.0	32.0	33.0	97.0	
KCI Technologies, Inc.	33.0	31.0	33.0	97.0	

Firm	Score
AE Engineering	282.0
Baxter and Woodman	289.0
Calvin, Giordano & Assoc.	280.0
Craven Thompson & Assoc.	268.0
Eisman & Russo Consulting Engineers	266.0

Shortlist to top 3