RFQ No. 2021-005 Construction Engineering and Inspection Services for Reclaimed Watermain Area 10 Technical Scoring Summary Form

Buyer Name: Ilyse Triestman

Technical Evaluations: 1/25/2021 Presentations: 2/16/2021 Final Evaluations: 2/16/2021

Experience 40 Pts. Max.	Paul DeCarolis		Richard Pereira	Criterion Score	Experience 40 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions
A&P Consulting and Transportation Engineers	35.0	40.0	37.0		25 years; 26 years experience. Visited site; experience; awards, FES, FPL
Corp. CES Consultants, Inc.	26.0			99.0	since 2001; no awards or accomplish; no project specifics.
Consor Engineers, Inc.	30.0	30.0	36.0	96.0	Experiences goes back to 1985; new company? Experience in transportation; minor litigation. Good approach and methodology; not much in awards or accomplishments.
KCI Technologies, Inc.	28.0	30.0	35.0	93.0	47 years; experience; major roadway; some legal action; CEI for seacrest phase 1; awards.
K-Factor, Inc.	25.0	40.0	35.0	100.0	new company;
Solid Consulting Engineers, Inc.	15.0	30.0	30.0	75.0	
Tectonic Group Int'l. Inc.	25.0	20.0	30.0		20 years CEI experience. Not too much ref: teams experience

Example Projects and Reference Feedback 25 Pts. Max.	Paul DeCarolis	lsaac Kovner	Richard Pereira	Criterion Score	Example Projects and Reference Feedback 25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions
A&P Consulting and					Miami Dade; reclaimed; no relation to feedback
Transportation Engineers	25.0	15.0	23.0	63.0	
CES Consultants, Inc.	18.0	15.0	23.0	56.0	Team worked together; good references; no feedback.
Consor Engineers, Inc.	15.0	5.0	21.0		no real team members; M&J feedback from; water main…not too much of reclaimed.
KCI Technologies, Inc.	15.0	15.0	23.0		Primarily; no feedback from references.
K-Factor, Inc.	20.0	15.0	20.0		no info ref: subs
Solid Consulting Engineers, Inc.	18.0	10.0	19.0	47.0	

Tectonic Group Int'l. Inc.	20.0	10.0	19.0		not too much about reclaimed
Organizational Structure and Capacity 35 Pts. Max.	Paul DeCarolis	lsaac Kovner	Richard Pereira	Criterion Score	Organizational Structure and Capacity 35 Pts. Max.
					Scoring Discussions
A&P Consulting and Transportation Engineers	30.0	35.0	28.0	93.0	100 availability
CES Consultants, Inc.	30.0	30.0	30.0		Bud Goblishch 65% avail; no one @ 100%
Consor Engineers, Inc.	30.0	20.0	21.0	71.0	
KCI Technologies, Inc.	30.0	30.0	25.0	85.0	
K-Factor, Inc.	30.0	35.0	25.0		100% committe
Solid Consulting Engineers, Inc.	30.0	30.0	19.0	79.0	
Tectonic Group Int'l. Inc.	25.0	20.0	19.0	64.0	100% but not work load capacity.

Firm		Score
A&P Consulting and Transportation Engine		268.0
CES Consultants, Inc.		245.0
Consor Engineers, Inc.		208.0
KCI Technologies, Inc.		231.0
K-Factor, Inc.		245.0
Solid Consulting Engineers, Inc.		201.0
Tectonic Group Int'l. Inc.		188.0
#REF!		
#REF!	l	

Shortlisted top 4:

A&P Consulting #1 Ranked Firm

Replace the text within the red highlighted <> to match the solicitation; the black text will automatically update accorc Complete the date fields

To add a Committee member, insert a column and update the "Criterion Score" formulas accordingly (green text) [ To add a criterion, copy an entire criterion box and paste in below the last criterion; then, update the ranking formula To add a company, insert the applicable rows for each criterion box and the ranking box, then update the formulas a Record each score in the appropriate area; the criterion score will automatically add the scores of each Committee n Record the scoring notes in the appropriate area

Record only the finalized score for each Committee member

Use one summary form for technical evaluations, and one summary form for final evaluations (if applicable)

Suggestion: Once the Technical Evaluation Scoring Summary Form is formatted, copy the sheet and label it Final

lingly; change red text to black after replacing it;

```
=IF(SUM(B7:E7)=0,"",SUM(B7:E7)) ]
s to include the new criterion (green text) [ =IFERROR((F7+F14+F21+F28+F35), "") ]
ccordingly
nember
```

Evaluations