Agent Name: Natasha Tucker Technical Evaluations: 12/3/2020

Experie	nce and References
Final Evaluations:	
Presentations:	
reciffical Evaluations.	12/3/2020

	1	ı	1	1	Ī		Final Evaluations:
Experience and References 40 Pts. Max.	Brian Ruscher	Trevor Feagan	Isaac Kovner		Pereira/Technic al Advisor (Non- Voting)	Criterion Score	Experience and References 40 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions
AE Engineering, Inc.	35.0	20.0	40.0				Isaac: 40yeasrs experience, included public outreach, roadway, school and bustop experience all experience won award in 2017, 2013 13 years CEI and LAP Trevor: In relation to other firms experience, ranked a, 2, 3. Brian: Had relevant experience, additional subconsultants, diversity of diversity
Baxter Woodman, Inc.	33.0	39.0	35.0		18 years experier		
KCI Technologies	32.0	35.0	35.0			102.0	they explained litigation. No awards, certs or accomplishments. Concerned about litigation
Similar Projects Completed 25 Pts. Max.	Brian Ruscher	Trevor Feagan	Isaac Kovner		Richard Pereira/Technic al Advisor (Non- Voting)	Criterion Score	Similar Projects Completed 25 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions
AE Engineering, Inc.	21.0	15.0	20.0				Excellent feedback; wilton manors stated they worked together as a group; based on comparing the threee submittalls
Baxter Woodman, Inc.	23.0	25.0	20.0				a lot of great projects, worked a lot in delray, no feedback
KCI Technologies	20.0					50.0	no teamwork, no feedback references, public input not great

Brian Ruscher	Trevor Feagan	Isaac Kovner	al	ereira/Technic Advisor (Non- oting)	Criterion Score	Organizational Structure 35 Pts. Max. Scoring Discussions
						highly available for the project, sufficient team to perform the work
30.0	25.0	30.0			85.0	
31.0	25.0	30.0				80 percent availability, less future availability, not good availability
						they have plenty of availability,
28.0	30.0	30.0			88.0	
						0
						Scoring Discussions
	Ruscher	30.0 25.0 31.0 25.0	30.0 25.0 30.0 31.0 25.0 30.0	30.0 25.0 30.0 31.0 25.0 30.0	Ruscher Feagan Kovner Voting)  30.0 25.0 30.0  31.0 25.0 30.0	Ruscher Feagan Kovner Voting) Score  30.0 25.0 30.0 85.0  31.0 25.0 30.0 86.0

Firm		Score	Sh
AE Engineering, Inc.		236.0	
Baxter Woodman, Inc.		261.0	
KCI Technologies		240.0	
0			Top Ranked
#REF!			

Shortlist to top 3

Replace the text within the red highlighted <> to match the solicitation; the black text will automatically update accord Complete the date fields

To add a Committee member, insert a column and update the "Criterion Score" formulas accordingly (green text) [
To add a criterion, copy an entire criterion box and paste in below the last criterion; then, update the ranking formula
To add a company, insert the applicable rows for each criterion box and the ranking box, then update the formulas a
Record each score in the appropriate area; the criterion score will automatically add the scores of each Committee n
Record the scoring notes in the appropriate area

Record only the finalized score for each Committee member

Use one summary form for technical evaluations, and one summary form for final evaluations (if applicable)

Suggestion: Once the Technical Evaluation Scoring Summary Form is formatted, copy the sheet and label it Final

```
stingly; change red text to black after replacing it;
=IF(SUM(B7:E7)=0,"",SUM(B7:E7)) ]
s to include the new criterion (green text) [ =IFERROR((F7+F14+F21+F28+F35), "") ]
ccordingly
nember
```

**Evaluations**