

	Applicant:	ARTS GARAGE (CREATIVE CITY COLLABORATIVE)			
	Program Name:	COMMUNITY BUILDING THROUGH MUSIC & ART			
	Amount Requested:	\$275,000			
	Total Amount Recommended:	\$275,000			
		86%			
	Reviewer:	1	2	3	
	I. ORGANIZATION CAPACITY (20%)				
1	Length of time established, overall growth/stability (out of 5)	4	4	3	
2	Stability/growth of organization funding (out of 5)	4	4	4	
3	Board composition, role, commitment to program/project (out of 5)	5	5	5	
4	Demonstrated experience/success with similar program/project (out of 15)	14	14	8	
5	Program/project leadership and staff qualifications (out of 5)	5	5	5	
	Collaborative relationships/affiliations relative to program/project (out of 5)	5	5	5	
7	Strategic planning process / current plan (out of 5)	5	5	3	
	Organization Capacity Subtotal	42	42	33	
	II NEED FOR RECORAM/REQUEST (200/)	19%	19%	15%	
	II. NEED FOR PROGRAM/PROJECT (20%)  Program/project need consistent with CRA Overall Need (out of 5)	1	1	E	
8	Program/project need consistent with CRA Overall Need (out of 5)  Program/project need consistent with organization mission (out of 5)	4	4	5 5	
	Documentation of program/project need (out of 5)	5	5	4	
11		4	4	3	
	Need for Program/Project Subtotal	17	17	17	
		17%	17%	17%	
	III. PROJECT/PROGRAM DESCRIPTION (10%)				
12	Innovative vs proven approach and justification (out of 5)	4	4	4	
13	Target population(s) clearly defined and within guidelines (out of 5)	4	4	5	
14	Activities clearly described and consistent with logic model (out of 5)	4	4	4	
15	Staff and resources adequate to implement activities (out of 5)	5	4	4	
	Activities likely to result in stated outputs/outcomes (out of 5)	5	5	4	
17	Realistic time frame to implement program/project (out of 5)	4	4	5	
	Program/Project Description Subtotal	26	25	26	
		9%	8%	9%	
10	IV. LOGIC MODEL / PROJECTED RESULTS (20%)  Stated program/project goal clear and relevant to CDA Overall Need (out of 10)	0	A	0	
	Stated program/project goal clear and relevant to CRA Overall Need (out of 10)  Clear relationship between activities, outputs, and outcomes (out of 5)	<u>8</u> 5	4	9	
	Activities appropriate to program/project goal (out of 5)	5 5	4	<u>4</u> 5	
_	Clear, measurable outputs (out of 5)	4	4	5	
-	Clear, measurable outcomes (out of 5)	4	4	5	
	Program/project results likely to lead to stated Impacts (out of 5)	4	4	5	
Ť	Logic Model/Projected Results Subtotal	30	24	33	
		17%	14%	19%	



	Reviewer:	1	2	3	
	V. EVALUATION PLAN (10%)				
24	All CRA-funded activities addressed (out of 5)	5	4	5	
25	Outputs presented with measurable indicators (out of 5)	5	4	5	
26	Outcomes presented with measurable indicators (out of 5)	5	4	5	
27	Evaluation processes clearly described (who, how/tools, when) (out of 5)	5	5	5	
28	Evaluation processes reasonable, appropriate (out of 5)	4	4	5	
29	Implementation responsibility/process clearly defined (out of 5)	4	4	5	
30	Application/usefulness of evaluation results (out of 5)	4	4	5	
	Evaluation Plan Subtotal	32	29	35	
		9%	8%	10%	
	VI. BUDGET & SUSTAINABILITY (20%)				
31	Adequate, appropriate expense budget to implement program/project (out of 5)	5	5	5	
32	Line item costs explained/justified in narrative (out of 5)	4	4	5	
33	Use of CRA funds clearly identified, may be tracked (out of 5)	4	4	5	
34	Sufficient mix of funding secured to implement program/project (out of 5)	4	4	4	
35	Mix & status of non-CRA funding solicited / pending (out of 5)	4	4	5	
36	Financial documents demonstrate responsible financial management (out of 5)	4	5	5	
37	Realistic plans to sustain program/project (out of 5)	4	4	5	
	Budget & Sustainability Subtotal	29	30	34	
		17%	17%	19%	
	TOTAL POINTS	176	167	178	
	PERCENTAGE	87%	83%	89%	
NOT	ES AND COMMENTS				
Committee Obein					
Committee Chair:					